

## **CERTIFICATION**

Completed Transcript: NYC Charter Revision Commission 2024 Meeting - May 29, 2024

(Job # 330228)

Audio Source: NYC Charter Revision Commission 2024 Meeting - May 29, 2024

(Job # 330228).wav

I hereby certify that the following document is an accurate transcription, to the best of my ability, of the audio source referenced above.

/s/ Tatiana Coronel

**TATIANA CORONEL** 

1	Mr. Carlos Scissura:	Good afternoon, everybody. Welcome welcome. It is an
2		exciting day to be here, although the Rangers did not have a
3		good night last night. So, if you see the bags under my eyes,
4		you understand why. Let me just first of all say it's it's great
5		to be here at Hunter. It's great to be in this what I was just
6		advised is an historic building and I'm just going to put you
7		on the spot for a second. Introduce yourself in a 30 second
8		little piece of
9	Mr. Harold Holzer:	Okay.
10	Mr. Scissura:	history about this building.
11	Mr. Holzer:	Yes. So I'm Harold Holzer. I'm the director of the house. This
12		is the home that FDR and Eleanor lived in for 25 years leading
13		up to the presidency. And three floors up, served as a
14		transition headquarters. The entire transition headquarters
15		just a little dent at the side of this room where nothing less
16		than an entire New Deal was created between November 1932
17		and the end of February 1933. Infrastructure, social security,
18		banking were all – were all conceived here. Nothing less than
19		saving in the country, so
20	Mr. Scissura:	We love it.
21	Mr. Holzer:	back to you and Carlos, (unclear).
22	Mr. Scissura:	Thank you so much and thank you to the team here. We have
23		big shoes to to fill being in this room. So welcome to our
24		first meeting of the 2024 New York City Charter Review
25		Commission. For those of you that don't know me, I'm Carlos

25

Scissura. I'm honored to -- to chair this commission. I'm thrilled to be serving on my third commission, which is -crazy how time flies; and honored to be asked to chair this esteemed group of commissioners who are seated with me. I am joined by Dr. Hazel Dukes, Ken Ngai, Kyle Bragg, Reverend Herbert Daughtry, Ruben Díaz Jr., Lorraine Grillo, Stephanie McGraw, Max Rose, Jackie Rowe-Adams, Bishop Gerald Seabrooks, and Rabbi Chaim Steinmetz. I mentioned all of the commissioners, even if they're not here, just so that folks know who they are. I will introduce each of them shortly and give them an opportunity to say a few words, once we do a little business. So, Mayor Adams created the Charter Review Commission on May 21st and we as a commission, as I've been on the last two, are -- are tasked with reviewing the City Charter, which is obviously the governing entity -- the governing document for New York City, and proposing amendments to the charters for voters to consider at the general election on November 5th. Somebody came up to me a few days ago and said, "What is a Charter Commission? What does it mean?" "Well, you know when you go vote and there's questions? Sometimes it's because a Charter Commission puts questions on the ballot." So, we're going to spend much of the next few weeks soliciting comments from the public and our partners in government in an effort to produce changes to the Charter that will improve the lives of all working class New

25

Yorkers, of everyone who is in New York City. We will hold public hearings in each borough -- all five boroughs -- to ensure that all New Yorkers will want to -- who want to be heard will have an opportunity to come and talk, testify, listen, and learn about what's happening. Mayor Adams has specifically asked that the Commission examine public safety and fiscal responsibility me-- measures in the course of reviewing the Charter. Those are two things that I think are important. Obviously, New Yorkers want to be safe and New Yorkers expect economic opportunity and fiscal responsibility. But they are just two things. There are many things that will come up, I am sure, once we put it out to New Yorkers and start hearing their voices. In tandem to our public meetings, the Commission will hold forums with experts in various fields to examine potential Charter revisions more closely in relation to these important subjects. And it -- it'll be exciting because, as we've done in the past -- and it's something that I've always felt was important -- you go into the boroughs and we do a morning meeting -- we'll do an evening meeting so that everyone has an opportunity to get to a meeting and to be heard. So, before we proceed any further, I'm going to ask that the commissioners consider three resolutions that will ensure the efficient functioning of the Commission as we begin the important work. I would add that all Commission meetings are public and even at an

24

25

organizational meeting like this, it is done in public so that folks can be here and observe and know what's happening. So the first resolution, number one, appoints the Honorable Diane Savino as Executive Director of the Commission and Ed Kiernan as General Counsel. Diane spent almost 20 years representing communities of Staten Island and Brooklyn as a New York state senator, where she passed numerous laws that benefit working New Yorkers. Diane previously served as vice president of SSEU Local 371 and is a senior advisor to the Mayor. Ed, who is sitting to my left, joins the Commission from the Office of Chief Counsel to the Mayor where he is Senior Counsel. He previously worked for the Department of Buildings, the Department of Juvenile Justice, and the City Council. This resolution grants Diane the authority to maintain a staff and work with other city agencies and elected officials across New York City to help achieve the goals of the Commission. Resolutions two, grants Dr. Hazel Dukes the aut-- authority of Chair if I am unable to continue in this position, and she will serve as the Vice Chair. Although knowing Dr. Hazel Dukes -- we all report to you, Dr. Dukes, as it should always be.

And resolution three is required by the Open -- New York State Open Meetings Law to allow our commissioners to participate in meetings by video conference if they are unable to attend a meeting in person. So, we will consider the three

1		resolutions jointly and I move that the Charter Revision
2		Commission adopt resolutions one, two, and three, as
3		presented today.
4	Mr. Ed Kiernan:	Do we have a second from a commissioner?
5	Dr. Hazel Dukes:	Second.
6	Mr. Kiernan:	Second by Dr. Dukes. Okay, all in favor?
7	All:	Aye.
8	Mr. Kiernan:	Any opposed?
9	Mr. Scissura:	Aye. Sorry.
10	Mr. Kiernan:	Any abstentions? Okay, the resolutions are passed. Thank
11		you.
12	Mr. Scissura:	Okay. So I am now going to take an opportunity to introduce
13		our commissioners and allow them to say a couple of words,
14		because they are an incredible group of New Yorkers, and I'll
15		start with myself. I I think I know many of you in this room.
16		I currently serve as the president of the New York Building
17		Congress. I've worked in government as Chief of Staff to
18		Brooklyn Borough President Marty Markowitz, and served as
19		President of the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce. And as I
20		mentioned, it is my third Charter Commission. The first one
21		was under Mayor Michael Bloomberg, where I was a member.
22		The second one was under Mayor Bill de Blasio, where I
23		served as Secretary. And obviously this one under Mayor
24		Adams, where I served serve as Chair. I guess the only
25		thing the three of them can agree on, is that I have fun on

Charter Commissions, right or otherwise – so let me start, of course, with Dr. Hazel Dukes. It's very difficult to provide you a bit of Information about Dr. Dukes, but I'm going to be very quick. She's from Manhattan— is the president of the NAACP New York State Conference. She's a member of the NAACP National Board of Directors, a member of the NAACP Executive Commis— Committee, and an active member of various NAACP Board subcommittees. She is as New York as they get. So, Dr. Dukes, would you like to say a few words?

Dr. Dukes:

Good afternoon. I'm honored and pleased. I'm honored and pleased to serve under this great mayor: Mayor Adams. I've had the pleasure of working in New York City government for 28 years and in Nassau County for 10 years. So I'm well versed on education. I am an activist. I'm an advocate for the people of New York State. I had the pleasure of being the first woman to administer oath of office to the first woman who's the Governor for the State of New York. Just been appointed by her as a fellow at all of the SUNY school. I will be honored in the next two weeks to find the first student— the Hazel Dukes Fellow of SUNY Universities across the state. And I come with a love for the people of New York State. I come with a love of being open and honest in discussions. And I think with the array of people that is assembled here, we will do that, an—we will get the truth to our communities that we're

1 here for good government. 2 Mr. Scissura: Thank you. I think we— we all agree. We are here for good 3 government. Ken Ngai, who will serve as Secretary of Staten Island, is a retired NYPD detective— well-respected law 4 5 enforcement professional with more than 20 years' experience 6 protecting public safety in New York City. He has an 7 extensive background in high-risk global financial crimes, 8 undercover narcotics investigations, counter-terrorist 9 financing, and cyber environments. Welcome aboard, Mr. 10 Secretary. 11 Det. Ken Ngai: Thank you, Scissura. Good afternoon, everybody. My name is 12 Ken Ngai, and as the Chair had said, I'm a former NYPD 13 detective, serving the city for 32 years. I am a lifelong New 14 Yorker and I was honored to serve in this Charter Revision to 15 bring forth the transparency that I think, as the Chair had said, 16 brings forth good government. And I would like to serve in 17 the capacity to help in any way to bring forth transparency and 18 good government to New Yorkers. 19 Mr. Scissura: Thank you. Kyle Bragg, who— actually, we served together 20 on the last Charter Commission, so it's-- it's good to have a 21 former colleague back on this one. Kyle is from Queens and 22 has served four decades at SEIU Local 32BJ where he retired 23 as President, after serving in that position since 2019. He 24 serves on the tr-- as a trustee of several 32BJ funds and as 25 chair of the Union Social and Economic Justice Committee.

1		He's a member of the executive board of the National
2		African-American Caucus of SEIU and serves on the
3		International Union's first racial justice task force. Kyle,
4		welcome.
5	Mr. Kyle Bragg:	Thank you, Chair. He said almost everything that I wanted to
6		say. It's just an honor and a privilege to be here with such an
7		esteemed group of of New Yorkers serving the city. I as
8		he said, I grew up in New York. I was born in Brooklyn and
9		raised in Queens. I love this city more than
10	Mr. Scissura:	(Unclear).
11	Mr. Kiernan:	(Unclear).
12	Mr. Scissura:	(Unclear).
13	Mr. Bragg:	I can express in words, and it's this this this
14		Commission I think's responsibility, it's my second time
15		around, to make sure that this city government works for all
16		New Yorkers. And so I'm privileged to be here and thank you.
17	Mr. Scissura:	Thank you, Kyle. Reverend Herbert Daughtry Sr. is on his
18		way of Brooklyn is a civil rights activist who hails from a
19		family that has produced five generations of church leaders.
20		He serves as the National Presiding Minister of the House of
21		the Lord churches headquartered in Brooklyn. With more than
22		60 years of involvement in church and community service,
23		Reverend Daughtry Sr. has earned the title of the People's
24		Pastor. Ruben Díaz Jr we know where he's from of
25		course, the Bronx represented his hometown and the people

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legislature for seven terms and as Bronx Borough president for three terms. During his career in public service, Ruben Díaz Jr. championed a new Bronx agenda based on economic development, housing, education, and public safety. And I would add that he understood, as Borough President, that cheering on the people of the Bronx was a critical and important voice. So, Ruben?

of the Bronx for more than two decades, serving in the state

Mr. Ruben Díaz Jr.:

Thank you. Good -- good afternoon, everyone. It's a pleasure to be here. Greetings from the Boogie Down Bronx. I am a recovering politician and a -- life after politics, you always -at least for me -- you want to find purpose, and you want to find meaning in whatever it is that you do. The -- the fact is that being born and raised in New York City -- being born and raised in the Bronx, is something that I wear with a badge of honor. And to be able to have been named by our mayor with this auspicious group -- a -- a diverse group -- being a Puerto Rican Latino from the Bronx is not lost on me. And there's a large -- large community out there that feels unfortunately, that New York City is still not working for them. And I -- I hope -- and I'm optimistic -- provided this venue, that we embody the spirit of the New Deal. That -- just like Roosevelt -- that whatever comes out of this commission is something that's going to better the lives of all New Yorkers, especially those that feel like no one is speaking out for them. I look

3

4

5

6

7

9

12

11

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

forward to serving with each and every single one of you and I also hope to have a little bit of fun while we're here. Thank you.

A little bit of fun is always good. Lorraine Grillo of Manhattan, began her public service career as a Community Relations Specialist at the New York City School Construction Authority before serving several senior roles in the Authority before being appointed as CEO and President as 2014. She served as Senior Advisor to Mayor Bill de Blasio for COVID-19 recovery, and as a Commissioner of the New York City Department of Design and Construction from 2018 to 2021. She most recently served as First Deputy Mayor to Mayor Eric Adams. Stephanie McGraw of Manhattan is the founder and CEO of We All Really Matter -- WARM, a domestic violence organization founded in 2010 out of her own vicious cycle of abuse. Through her journey, Ms. McGraw yearned to see service providers working through an inclusive, culturally competent lens. By establishing WARM, she became the first Black woman to create a demo-- domestic violence agency in Harlem. The organization now operates citywide and has expanded provide to economic empowerment services in Ghana and throughout Africa. Yeah. Yes, hi. (Unclear). Good afternoon. My name is Stephanie McGraw. I am so honored to be here with you all and such a

privilege to be appointed to this. A matter of fact, when

Ms. McGraw:

Mr. Scissura:

25

Edward -- Edward came up to my office, he said, "Whoa, I've never s-- embodied someone so excited." Because I was excited. I have a whole team there -- we have video, and it's just that serious for -- for me, you know, as a Black woman here. I grew up in the City, raised in the City, grew up in housing projects, grew up in poverty, grew up in lack of education. I didn't get my GED 'til I was 50 years old. So what I'm here to do -- to stand here, to represent this city of people that -- of from backgrounds that don't get an opportunity to have their voice heard; that don't get an opportunity to sit at a table and talk about the real issues that are happening in the City. We are, all of us, that bridge over troubled water; and we will be here as a united front to make sure that we're -- that we speak for our people and -- and present the most -- environment of safety, 'cause safety is important to us, you know. We deal with very critical issues here -- women being violated and murdered everyday here in this city. So I'm honored to be here, to be a voice on this very important committee for the voiceless in our city. So I'm --I'm grateful, I'm thankful, and I'm honored to be here. Thank you so very much. And I honor and I love my city. I love my people. I love every nationality. We are one. We're -- there's no difference, but we live in a society that wants to separate us. So, as we sit here on this panel and on this amazing committee, we will be representing all of you, because all of

1		you, are all of us. Thank you.
2	Mr. Scissura:	That was amazing. Thank you, Commissioner. Max Rose of
3		Staten Island is a former congressman from New York's 11 <sup>th</sup>
4		District. He proudly represented Staten Island and South
5		Brooklyn in the U.S. House of Representatives from 2019
6		through 2020. Max was commissioned to the U.S. Army in
7		2010 as an infantry infantry officer and still serves in the
8		U.S. Army Reserve as a major. And Max, if it's okay, I am
9		going to give a shout out to your two-week-old
10	U/F 1:	Aww. Wow.
11	Mr. Scissura:	little bundle and say congratulations.
12	Ms. McGraw:	Congratulations.
13	U/F 1:	Wow. Two weeks old. That's amazing(?).
14	Mr. Max Rose:	That's that's very that's very kind of you and it's an
15		honor to serve on this commission. I I certainly
16		considering those seated before you all. I certainly don't think
17		I'm worthy, but I very honored to be here. My wife and I
18		adopted a baby girl two weeks ago. Her name is Ashton Fox
19		Rose.
20	Ms. McGraw:	Beautiful.
21	Mr. Rose:	With a name like that, she better she better be something,
22		man. That's a that this this commission is about her.
23		I'm a fourth generation New Yorker and, by God, she'll be a
24		fifth generation lifelong New Yorker. And it's all of our
25		collective responsibilities to make sure that this city remains

1		and grows even stronger and ever more equitable for all the
2		Ashtons of the world. So, thank you, again.
3	Mr. Scissura:	Thank you, Max. Well said. Jackie Rowe-Adams of
4		Manhattan worked tirelessly with youth and seniors as a
5		music specialist with the New York Department of Parks and
6		Recreation from 1996 until her retirement in late 2021. After
7		losing two sons to gun violence, Ms. Rowe-Adams co-
8		founded Harlem Mothers Stop Another Violent End an
9		organization instituted to end the dreaded scourge of violence
10		in the Harlem community. She has received numerous awards
11		and citations for her social activism and her efforts have
12		inspired love and respect from all who have had the
13		opportunity to meet her. And I would ask add admiration
14		from many, as well. She is a lifelong resident of Harlem.
15		Welcome.
16	Ms. Rowe-Adams:	Thank you so very much. And I am so glad to hear you say, "I
17		have gained so much respect for our mayor." I just want to say
18		thank you, Mayor Adams. Thank you, Mayor Adams, for
19		putting this commission together, hearing our
20	Mr. Kiernan:	(Unclear).
21	Mr. Scissura:	I'll read it.
22	Ms. Rowe-Adams:	voice, and understanding that is so important for our
23		community, our city, to know what's going on. We have been
24		silent for a long time, because we didn't know what was going
25		on. Now we're here today to say to the world to the world

25

'cause the world is listening -- that we are going to make a difference and we are going to be fair and let the people in the community and the city hear what's going on. When you talk about public safety -- I am a mother who lost two kids to gun violence, but I couldn't bring my kids back. So I decided to -let's start a support group with mothers and fathers. Let's deal with the safety issue; and that's what we've been doing. But again, I can't say enough: Thank you, Mayor Adams. From day one, he's been helping organize our group from 2006; so this is not new. But we finally -- finally are at the table with a great team on this Charter Revision for our voices to be heard -- for us to be the voice for the voiceless. So what we need is for everybody -- everybody to pay attention and know that be -- we the right team. I was the president of DC37 Local 299, for 18 years, and we de-- dealt with a lot of information, and especially Charter. And we got the message out there. I was the president of School Board District 5 for 10 years and we got the message out there. We worked with the community and all they want to know is, what's going on. We didn't hide anything. And you know that song, "What's going on?" So that's why we here today, and we will be here to make sure all of you -- all of you play a part in your community and in your city and some -- in the world. So, thank you again, Mayor Adams, for having that vision and understanding the need -the need for the community and the cities to know, what's

1		going on.
2	Mr. Scissura:	Thank you. Amen to that. I I have to say, when I hear
3		DC37, I get very excited. I know there are lots of union folks,
4		but my late mother was a DC37 retiree
5	Ms. Rowe-Adams:	Oh.
6	Mr. Scissura:	and as a single parent, I can tell you, union benefits saved
7		her life
8	Ms. Rowe-Adams:	That's right.
9	Mr. Scissura:	and and were able to get us through a lot of tough times.
10		So, amen to DC37.
11	Ms. Rowe-Adams:	Thank you.
12	Mr. Scissura:	Bishop Gerald Seabrooks of Queens is the pastor of the
13		Rehoboth Cathedral a purpose-driven ministry located in
14		the heart of Bedford-Stuyvesant, Brooklyn. Now, of course,
15		Bed-Stuy, we know, is the center of New York City, but as
16		a Brooklynite, I can say that. Seabrooks has Bishop
17		Seabrooks has actualized the holistic approach by serving
18		humanity both spiritually and socially. He is also currently
19		employed as a licensed guila guidance counselor and
20		pedagogue by the New York City Department of Education.
21		He served as Executive Vice President of a prestigious
22		community multi-service corporation for over 25 years.
23	Bishop Gerald Seabrook	s: Let me say, I retired from the Board of Education. First, thank
24		you, Chairman. Let me say, I've worked very closely with
25		Mayor Dinkins; I worked very closely with Mayor Bloomberg

to, number one, stop crime in the African-American community; worked very closely with youth. My mentor sits here today, Dr. (Unclear). (Unclear) for formulating this commission, because I believe it starts with us, and I'm asking you to do something today -- on the first day of this commission. Will you begin to do a kind deed every single day to some New Yorker to let them know we are great people because we have love one to another. So, I want to see safety, but I want to see how city change back to the city it once was when we learned how to communicate with each -- with each other, even if we had differences. So thank Mayor Adams for putting this commission together.

Mr. Scissura:

Thank you, Commissioner. Rabbi Chaim Steinmetz of Manhattan is the senior Rabbi of Congregation Kehilath Jeshurun -- I hope I said that right -- in New York. A much sought after speaker and teacher with over three decades of experience, Rabbi Steinmetz has mastered the art of presenting the timeless wisdom of ancient texts in a contemporary way. Rabbi?

Rabbi Chaim Steinmetz:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is such an honor to serve our city; it is such an honor to serve our mayor; and above all, it is such an honor to serve with all of these amazing commissioners. I am probably the late-comer to this city. I grew up in the suburbs. I spent a good chunk of my life living in Israel and in Canada. I came to New York City a little over

Mr. Scissura:

Mr. B. Lynch:

eight years ago, and I fell in love. And there is so much to love in our city, and I think that I'm not the only one who does that. When I walk around the city, I hear every language from everywhere in the world, and it's not just tourists. People love New York City. People want to live in New York City. Now here's the thing about love: You can't take love for granted. You need to work at it. And if we want this city to be the place that we love, it has to be a city that serves each and every one of its citizens. It has to be a city which is not just a bunch of individuals following their own agendas, but has to be a city that brings people together. We need to preserve that beautiful mosaic of New York. We need to make this a place where everyone feels safe, where everyone feels secure, and everyone feels heard. It is really an honor to be a part of this commission.

Thank you, Commissioner. Christopher B. Lynch is a lifelong public servant. For the last decade, he has worked as a substitute teacher with the New York City Department of Education. Previously, he served over 20 years with the New York City Department of Corrections Health Management Division, retiring as a captain. Welcome.

Good afternoon, everyone. I'm honored to be a part of this panel here this afternoon. As one of my colleagues -- as my colleague said on the other end, when I looked at the bios of all the other commissioners that were here -- and I'm, like,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Okay, so what am I going to bring to the table?" So, my -what I -- what I hope to bring to the table is also to be the voice for the voi-- for the voiceless. As I often see when I hear politicians talk about "my constituents, my constituents," but I s-- but no one spoke to me. So no one got my opinion -- no one received my opinion. So I want to be the voice on this commission -- to be the voice for the voiceless. Children is my love and I want to be able to represent those young ones for their future, and represent the City. Thank you.

Thank you, Commissioner. Reverend Daughtry, before you came in the room, I spoke of you. We'd love for you to say a few words before we move on with our program.

Rev. Herbert Daughtry:

Thank you -- thank you -- thank you. And what an honor it is to be sitting here today for such an illus-- illustrious body as -so dedicated -- some of whom I know -- have been knowing for a few years -- many years. Bishop Seabrook -- my sparring partner back there -- you know. Ruben Díaz, and many others. Let me apologize, first of all -- I -- I'm late. It wasn't my fault. Seriously. I -- I -- I got in an Uber and I ended up in Queens, you know -- and so I had to call and get directions, and so that's why I'm late. Believe me, I always just -- sounds a little funny, but believe me, I'm just honored to be here. Been in New York a long time -- only about 80 years and -- I think -- Bishop Seabrook, you used to be by teacher, right? About -- about -- no, maybe Dr. Hazel Dukes used to be my --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Scissura:

but I'm just honored to be here, in whatever way. For a long time now I've been on this mission to save the planet -- save the people. That's our challenge. And however we can do that -- that's a lifetime goal and an exciting one, at that. Been knowing the mayor, obviously, for a long time; when he was quite young. And sometimes he gives me credit for having encouraged him to join the police -- become a police officer and demonstrate what policing should be about. And so I'm just honored to be here. Thank you.

Thank you, Reverend. And -- and by the way, I have to say, when you -- when you hear the stories and you hear our commissioners speak, the -- first of all, the excitement from everyone is very clear. That's -- I've learned on Charter commissions, there will be times it can get very dry and it can get very technical. And the excitement of wanting to not only hear from New Yorkers, but to listen to them and maybe learn a thing or two from New Yorkers is -- is really something to look forward to. The second thing is diversity. And -- and, you know, the diversity is clear from who's sitting at these two tables, but the diversity of life experiences that we just heard is incredible. It is just fascinating who's been here a few years, who's been here a long time. You know, my own story is kind of fun, and I have to say it, because my parents came here on their honeymoon in 1967 and every year said, "Oh yeah, we're going to go back to Italy. We're going to go back

1		to Italy." Obviously, never left. So, every New Yorker's got
2		some story. Every New Yorker has some passion for why we
3		love this city. And I think New Yorkers, we've learned,
4		whether you've been here six months or six decades, you
5		become a New Yorker. That's it for you. So, I'm even more
6		excited now to serve as Chair hearing all of these life stories.
7		And I can tell you, I know that I am personally going to learn
8		a lot from all of you on this commission, so thank you. So
9		let's get to a couple of presentations. So, integrity and
10		transparency are very critical on a Charter commission. So to
11		that end, we will be posting all Commission documents,
12		transcripts, and hearing videos right on our website:
13		nyc.gov/charter. I'll repeat that again: nyc.gov/charter. And
14		we are pleased to have Alex Kipp of the New York City
15		Conflicts of Interest Board as the first person to address us as
16		a commission. Ethics and integrity are the heart of the actions
17		of this commission, and we thank Alex for being here to
18		remind us of our obligations and Charter as Charter Revision
19		commissioners. Alex, welcome.
20	Mr. Alex Kipp:	Thanks very much. Where would you like me to (unclear)?
21	Mr. Scissura:	Sure.
22	Mr. Kipp:	I I just want to okay. (Unclear). Okay.
23	Mr. Scissura:	I'll pass this out. Thank you.
24	Mr. Kipp:	Thank you. Okay. Hello, everybody.
25	Dr. Dukes:	Hi.

Mr. Kipp:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York City Conflicts of Interest Board. I was here -- or

I've been asked to just present about 20 minutes on kind of an

overview of Chapter 68 of the City Charter, the Conflict of

•

So, thanks for the introduction. My name's Alex. I'm with the

Interest Law, talking about how this law may possibly

intersect with your service here. And also to offer our

resources when you've got questions. First, let me say thank

you. Thank you for your service.

Mr. Scissura:

Mr. Kipp:

Thank you.

It was the Charter Revision Commission from '86 to '88 that created the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board. I then started working there sometime later. And then in 2010 it was the Charter Revision Commission, that made training in this law mandatory, which gave me very good job security. So, nice work. So, in any case, let's focus in first on how you can use us. The most important thing -- and this is in the notes of the Charter Revision Commission from '86 to '88: "The most important thing that a Conflicts of Interest board can do is prevent conflict of interest violations." And that's through a combination of training and advice. And that's what our outputs show. You know, thousands of people served in training and advice every year, trying to prevent any kind of violation of the Conflict of Interest Law. One of the most important thing we do. And we're easy to get ahold of. If you see -- on the back of the handout, you see our telephone

25

number, you see our website. Anybody subject to this law can call us up nine to five, Monday through Friday. You'll get an operator. You say, "I want to speak to the attorney of the day," and we'll talk to you right then and there. And what you should know about that conversation, is it's always free. That's good. And it's always confidential. What does that mean? Well, when they enacted this Conflict of Interest Board around 1990, they wrote in the statute -- th-- the thinking was: We want this Conflict of Interest Board to be asked a lot of questions, and if the Board can blab about the questions, nobody's going to ask 'em the questions. So, by law, we have to keep your requests for advice confidential. We can't talk about our answers. We can't talk about your questions. We can't even confirm or deny that you called us for advice. And that way you have a safe place to discuss your proposed activities and there -- no one will ever know that you -- except you. And we will always give you a copy of what we said in writing, and then that'll be your choice to share that advice that you got. That's just not something we can do, but you can do it, certainly. Now, caveat -- caveat about the advice. There is -- one kind of thing -- well -- okay. In life, not just in conflict of interest, when is the best time to ask for advice? Before -- before I do the thing, right? Because if I break a bunch of laws and then I ask for advice on it, that's not advice -- that's a confession. Right? So we don't want that to happen.

25

We want people to call us early, call us often. We want to avoid any sort of even accidental violation of the law and we think we can do that by giving you advice for free -- quickly, quietly. There you go. And that's the most important way we're going to interfa-- interface with you -- is giving you advice whenever you want it. If it concerns this law, we'll give you advice on it. Now, let's move on to what we need to know about the Conflict of Interest Law from the perspective of someone who serves on the Charter Revision Commission. I've highlighted on the handout just a couple of the bullet points I'll go over. There's 20 bullet points on here. There's only a few we really need to talk about. The biggest thing to understand about this law is that everything is -- sort of hangs on the concept of misuse of position. That's really what drives everything in the Conflict of Interest Law. Now, one small note to that is that while the law thinks of basically every public servant, part-time or full-time, as the same, the expression of that law is going to be a little bit different compar-- when we think about the facts. And that's going to be true here, and I'll -- I'll give you an example when we think about misuse of position. The -- the common notion of misuse is the one that you could just pull from a headline like, "Hey, I've got authority over you. Give me free stuff or I will use my City authority to, you know -- intimidate you, or to write you a ticket, or fine you, or whatever." That's a misuse

25

of position. I think everybody knows that. The more interesting thing is about ethics laws in government post-Watergate, which is when a lot of reforms happen in ethics laws in America -- began to be concerned with this notion of the appearance of impropriety -- questions of integrity that might arise if I, the public servant, act on a -- in a offi-- take an official action where the result of that action would be a benefit to myself or an associated party. Now, we get into an interesting area here, because misuse of position -- I'm going to contrast two different kinds of ideas. So the Conflict of Interest Law -- mostly it was written thinking about these people who work full time for the City like me; the 325,000 people who devote most of their lives to public service, and then they've got, like, a side hustle. Right? They're an adjunct professor. They maybe own a small business. They sit on the board of a not-for-profit. For you, that's reversed, right? This is the side hustle. It's a very important side hustle, but it's a -it's a side hustle. But -- yeah -- and -- and then you've got this robust outside life with businesses, positions, clients, all that kind of stuff that you had before and you're going to continue to have. So, let me now pose up this kind of hypothetical. For me, the person who works in City government full time -- let's do a little hypothetical here. Let's say the Conflict of Interest Board, where I work -- let's say they were looking to hire another lawyer -- mostly lawyers work at the Conflict of

25

Interest Board. My brother is a lawyer, he hates his job -that's actually true. And I say, "Hey, why don't you apply for a job at the Conflict of Interest Board?" Now, the -- my duty of recusal is so broad that not only can I not recommend my brother for a job in my agency, I can't interview him. I can't even be a part of any search where my brother is one of the candidates. That means I can't even interview anybody else who's competing with him for the job. It's a total recusal. I want to contrast that now with what happens when a -- a -- an entity that you're associated with -- like, let's say your primary employer wants to participate in a public meeting. Do you have a duty of recusal? And the answer is: You do not. You are going to continue to serve on this board at this meeting, ask the questions, and continue as a board member like anyone else does, whether it was your employer or somebody else who has participated in the meeting. So that's a fundamental difference. You're going to participate like anybody else; you don't have a total recusal. The one thing that the Board cautions is that when you participate in a meeting where your employer is also participating in that public meeting -- a meeting subject to the Open Meetings Law, which is primarily what this commission's going to do -then, you make it clear that you are serving solely in your capacity as a commissioner here. That's it. It's just like, "Hey, that's my employer. I'm here doing the Charter Revision

25

Commission business." There we go. Good to go. Now, I'm going to contrast that with the following hypothetical, which is: What if in some -- maybe more rare instance, there is a meeting that is not subject to the Open Meetings Law, where commission staff and maybe commissioners themselves or some commissioners themselves, might meet with my employer, and I am also a Charter Revision Commission member? That non-public meeting -- that meeting not subject to the Open Meetings Law is one that I may not participate in. I can't set up the meeting for my employer. I can't participate in the meeting. That would be a violation of the Conflict of Interest Law. So this body can certainly have that meeting with that entity, but I'm not the one who participates in that meeting. Now, third interesting thing: There's a whole question of resources. So that's bullet point number one: Misuse of position. Bullet point number two talks about misuse of city time and resources. Now for the full-timers like me, the expression of that -- when you see it, you usually see it in an enforcement case, when a public servant has devoted some amount of city time and resources to some sort of outside interest. Maybe they're running a business out of their city office, which could be practice of law or could be something much smaller. I've seen people get in trouble for all kinds of things; running a -- a -- kind of a -- a storefront while they were on the city clock, one person got in trouble

25

running a travel agency from their City desk, which is -- you know. What decade was that? But in any case, so -- the -- but a question of resources comes u-- can also c-- can come up. What about the reverse? Could my outside employer -- if I'm a Charter Revision Commission member, could my outside employer devote its resources to help me further the work of the Charter Revision Commission? And the answer is yes. That is not a problem whatsoever. So having your outside organization type up bullet points or do some research or do some copying or set appointments, or whatever that stuff is -that is allowed, as long as it's clear that the reason that that is happening is the benefit to the Charter Revision Commission. And then one asterisk to put on all of this, is just beware of confidential information. I can't pass confidential information onto anyone who's not supposed to know it. And that would include my outside employer -- you know. Now, "What if my outside employer says, 'Hey, what happened at the public meeting?' Can I tell 'em about that?" Sure. I mean, I could tell 'em, "Hey, why don't you go read in the news?" Right? But I could also just tell them that because that's public information, but confidential information -- I'm not allowed to share with anyone. Okay. So that brings us through the sort of boutique of misuse of position. That's probably the most important thing to talk about. I now want to switch over to just a couple of other things that are sort of minor keys in this

25

conversation -- or con-- conversation, but in -- in -- my short time with you, and it's this: Okay, very quickly. Number one: For-- forever, since we've had a Conflict of Interest Law, there's been ano-- a -- a -- a very bright line rule that says that I, the public servant, am -- am prohibited from entering into a financial relationship with anybody above me or below me in the chain of command. Okay. So, that means -- remember that the Charter Revision Commission staff are considered your subordinates, and therefore you can't enter into a financial relationship. And I think most of us who have bosses -- we feel like that's a pretty good thing, right? My boss can't hit me up for a loan. My boss can't lend money to me. My boss cannot move into my apartment, which is -- that's very good, I think. Right? Tho-- and these are all things -- it's kind of protection from, you know, superiors putting a thumb on -- on -- on -- on me somewhere to try to squeeze a financial benefit. Now, let me just -- but -- it -- it really is a kind of total ban. So, let me put it -- and we should remember this, like -- even things that are non-financial, but might generate obligations to someone could be interpreted as financial. Here's an example: Let's say I'm an attorney and there's someone in -- a -- a staffer in my office. So I'm the commissioner, that person's a staffer, and that person wants help with a will -- or they want help with a real estate closing. I can't be their attorney even for free. The attorney-client relationship -- the Board has

25

made clear is a -- close enough to a financial relationship, because the obligations generated that -- that's not allowed. So, even those things -- that kind of relationship for free would be problematic. Okay? Number two: thinking about subordinates -- move on to politics. It is a violation for me, the superior, to ask -- even ask any subordinate to participate in the political process, in terms of any kind of activity that is -electioneering activity. So I can't ask a staffer how to vote. I can't ask 'em to go to a rally. I can't ask 'em for funds. I can't ask 'em. I can't ask 'em. Why? 'Cause it's hard to say no to the boss. You know? Like, "Hey, how would you like to volunteer for this campaign?" Might be a hard no -- hard to say no to the boss on that. So it's not allowed. Now, here's one place that -- I think everybody probably knows this, but just to refresh you: Members of boards and commissions are deemed to have what we call "substantial policy discretion." And there is one additional thought, or rather, notion in the Conflict of Interest Law -- it's in the statute -- about -- and it's a -- it's about fundraising for local races. And basically the restriction is for those of us with substantial policy discretion -- we're not allowed to ask anyone in the world to donate money to a candidacy for a local office. So anybody running for mayor, council, borough president, comptroller, public advocate; I can donate my own money -- whatever -- within the campaign financing limits, but I can't ask – so, let's say I

25

got a buddy who lives in China now, I haven't seen him in 20 years -- I can't call him and ask him to donate to that campaign of that person who's running for mayor currently. I -- I mean hypothetically, right? Any person running for mayor, comptroller, whatever. Now, the other thing I can't do is I can't ask anybody in the world for any money -- to donate any money to any current city-elected official who's running for any office. And with term limits, you -- you see that a bit, right? So for example -- kind of down where I live, m-- my congressional rep for a long time was Major Owens. Major Owens retired. And Yvette Clark, you might remember, was a sitting City Council member. She ran for that seat. We couldn't have do-- we -- we could donate our own money, but we couldn't have asked other people to donate their money to her campaign. She didn't need it; she won anyway. But, the -there -- th -- that's the example. And then the last of this trio of -- of political restrictions is: Those of us with substantial policy discretion are also pro-- prohibited from holding high level political party positions -- like county executive, kind of positions. And if you've got questions about that -- what is on the list? What's off the list? Call us, get some advice, we'll let you know. But I won't -- I won't go into all of the details with that. And then last thing, 'cause I want to make this short, and it's about gifts. Those of you who have had some experience with City service, you probably know that there's a gifts rule

out there. The -- City -- in City government -- when you work for City government, the Conflict of Interest Law says, "I don't take a valuable gift from somebody doing business or seeking to do business with the city." Valuable means something worth \$50 or more. I don't know about you. I've worked for the city for 23 years, I'd never been offered \$50 worth of anything. Never happened. But, some people do get offers of things and basically -- and a lot of people -- a lot of you have worked at agencies where the -- the level is zero. NYPD -- zero gifts, right? Says in the admin guide. Corrections also says don't take any gifts from anybody.

DOB.

Mr. Kipp:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DOB, as well. Absolutely. So some agencies have an even stricter rule. Now, one interesting question though is -- and I bring it back to the fact that you live a robust outside life that is your full-time life -- is you've got relationships, you've got relatives. Some of you even have friends. Right? I -- we remember friendships before City government, yes? And it may be -- so now we have to ask this question: I sit on the Charter Revision Commission. This person wants to give me a gift that they always were giving me for my birthday. What do I say to them? Like, "We're no longer friends now?", "You're dead to me", or --? Wh-- you know, what i-- what is the answer there? And the answer there is: If it's clear that the only reason that a person is giving me this gift is because of

25

this outside pers-- pre-existing relationship and they would've given me the gift anyway, then it's probably okay to accept it. Now, where the antenna should go up is: Let's say someone I don't know wants to give me something solely because I'm a member of this commission, then you got to say no. And then here's the hard one: Let's say I've got an old friend who's always given me stuff, but now he has some matter directly before this Charter Revision Commission, and he wants to give me the same thing he's always given me. Call us for legal advice. There is -- there is a history of interpretation of that law. Let's see where your situation lines up with the facts. Best case scenario is we got you the advice, we put it in writing, you can accept the gift, and then you're good to go. Or there may be cause for circumspection where maybe you don't want to accept it in that very rare instance, but let's talk about it and figure what the answer is. The last thing -- I promise -- is this: In 2010, the City Council passed a law that, for the first time, put a restriction on giving gifts to public servants. There had never been that before. And it's only a very specific kind of giver, which is a lobbyist. A person who is required to register with the City Clerk's office as a lobbyist is prohibited from giving any an -- any public servant anything. There's an -- a de minimus exception for tchotchkes, calendars, pens, stuff like that; but basically it's zero. It's zero. So, if I am a lobbyist, I'm not allowed to give

25

any public servant anything. And if I was, say, a lobbyist who served on a -- a Carter Revision Commission, I think the giving of things to my fellow members might require some circumspection. In fact, I'm -- I'm not sure how to think about it without it being a violation. But again, I'm not the legal advice person. So that would be a question to ask on a confidential legal advice call. But, antennae should definitely go up because remember -- it had always been the case pre-2010 that if a member of the private sector gave the gift to the public servant, the public servant was liable; the giver was not. But since 2010 -- and we've had a couple of enforcement cases on this -- if the lobbyist gives the prohibited gift, then the lobbyist could be fined, as well. We don't want that to happen to anybody, so people should call us. And here we go to wrap up. On the back you will see our telephone number and our website. Think of this just -- you talked about "it was not going to be exciting for a moment." This is the boring part, right? But -- think of this time together briefly as just an introductory handshake. When they wrote the law 30 years ago, they said, "It's not supposed to be a gotcha." That's why we come out. We do these very quick sessions and then you know enough about this law to call us whenever you need us; call us early, call us often. We will give you all the advice you need to make sure that you never get tripped up by this Conflict of Interest Law and you can continue your very

1		important work here. So, thank you very much for your time
2		today and Godspeed.
3	Mr. Scissura:	Okay.
4	Mr. Kipp:	Yes, sir.
5	Mr. Scissura:	Thank you. Thank you. Do any commissioners have
6		questions? Yes, sir. And then I do, as well.
7	Mr. Díaz Jr.:	I am a I am a delegate at large for the Democratic party.
8		Would would that be considered a party position?
9		(Unclear).
10	Mr. Kipp:	You know, I'm a little rusty on that on the statute. It
11		enumerates certain kinds of party positions. I think in the plain
12		language guide, it may not even go into the details. It says
13		okay. It says certain party positions. Delegate is delegate at
14		large is not one that I've read in in that I haven't en
15		encountered it before. I think it says county executive, district
16		leader delegate at large is is not one. But I think just
17		because you're kind of getting close, go ahead and give us a
18		ring, because if it's a yes, it's going to be an easy yes; you file
19		it away, you don't think about it again. Yes ma'am?
20	Ms. Rowe-Adams:	Yeah(?), it's Jackie Rowe-Adams. I am a judicial delegate for
21		(unclear) election.
22	Mr. Kipp:	Uh-huh. I think it's the same I think it's the same answer,
23		which is that delegate doesn't rise to that level. I'm almost
24		I'm, like, 95% sure but just to make sure, give us a quick
25		call. We can get that advice to you in writing. Yeah.

1	Mr. Scissura:	So I want to touch on campaign contributions.
2	Mr. Kipp:	Yes, please.
3	Mr. Scissura:	'Cause I feel like that's something everybody
4	Mr. Kipp:	Yup.
5	Mr. Scissura:	gets hit up for. So, I personally can give a campaign
6		contribution
7	Mr. Kipp:	Absolutely.
8	Mr. Scissura:	to someone running for City Council or whatever within the
9		limits of the law, et cetera.
10	Mr. Kipp:	Exactly.
11	Mr. Scissura:	I cannot be on a host committee for somebody running for any
12		city office.
13	Mr. Kipp:	That is an excellent question and I'm going to proach that
14		you're I'm going to give you the favorite lawyer answer
15	Mr. Scissura:	Okay.
16	Mr. Kipp:	which is, like, "It depends" and here's what it depends
17		on. I you're going to have to call us for legal advice, 'cause
18		I think it what what what what it's going to
19		hang on a little bit is, like "How many people are on this
20		host committee? Is it like a hundred people? Are you just on
21		the letterhead and that's it? Where or is there is it the host
22		committee and now your name is signed at the bottom and
23		these are implications going to specific people?" There
24		there there's a there's been interpretively, there's been
25		a the a tendency to not get people tripped up on what are

1		essentially, like, non-acts; like being present in a room, for
2		example. And and and we've faced that question a
3		number of times. For example and this is in the spirit of
4		what you're asking: "Let's say I'm not going to host the party,
5		but my spouse she is very politically active and always was.
6		Could she host a fundraiser in the house we both live in? Can
7		I attend it? Can I do I have to walk around with tape over
8		my mouth? Like, what what's the standard?" And the
9		standard is: "I can attend. I don't have to leave. But, it it
10		should be clear, my spouse is the r one running this
11		fundraising party, and my spouse is the one who's going to
12		make the request for funding." So here, I think the question is
13		going to turn on: "What h what does host committee look
14		like ?"
15	Mr. Scissura:	Got it.
16	Mr. Kipp:	And then we'll give you an answer depending on what the
17		structure is. That make sense?
18	Mr. Scissura:	Right. And then these rules again, I say, because I'm sure
19		many of us will get hit up do not apply if you're doing
20		something for somebody running for Assembly in Albany or
21		Congress in DC, et cetera?
22	Mr. Kipp:	That is correct. The fundraising restrictions are only for those
23		two categories. Any candidate running for one of those local
24		offices or any current local elected official running for
25		Congress. But if you've got somebody else running for State

1		Assembly or State in C or Congress, and they're not a
2		current City-elected official, there's no restriction.
3	Mr. Scissura:	Yep.
4	Mr. Kipp:	Good question.
5	Ms. McGraw:	I do have a question.
6	Mr. Kipp:	Yes, ma'am?
7	Ms. McGraw:	Yeah, so, I got an email about
8	Mr. Kipp:	M Ma'am, could you flip that mic on just so we
9	Ms. McGraw:	Yeah.
10	Mr. Kipp:	yeah. Thank you.
11	Ms. McGraw:	Can you hear me? Oh, yeah. So I got an email about not
12		talking to the press until after we have this meeting. How we
13		would be instructed moving forward?
14	Mr. Kipp:	I'm so glad that I'm not the person to answer that question. It
15		falls outside of the outside of the aegis of the Conflict of
16		Interest Law. So it's an important question, but maybe Ed's
17		got some thoughts on that. It's just not it's not under our
18		authority, so
19	Ms. McGraw:	Oh.
20	Mr. Kipp:	Sorry. Yes, sir?
21	Reverend Daughtry:	I I d I didn't hear attendance at community meetings. Is
22		there any res restrictions?
23	Mr. Kipp:	No, there's no restriction on attending community meetings.
24	Reverend Daughtry:	Any any community meetings? It's okay?
25	Mr. Kipp:	The the way to think about it is there's a there's a

1		couple of things. One of them is a conflict of interest issue,
2		which is just and this it it's not as exciting as the
3		question you're answering it's not as interesting. It's just
4		confidential information, right? I can attend whatever meeting
5		and hang out with whomever I want, but I don't reveal
6		confidential non-public information. The other one is and
7		and this is really just an internal thing about how these people
8		doing this very important work, then speak as a body about
9		the opinions of this Charter Revision Commission. And so I
10		I'm not sure, like so, "When I go to a comm comm
11		committee a comm a community meeting, can I talk about
12		my work on the non non-confidential work on the Charter
13		Revision Commission?" Certainly. Could there be a
14		divergence of opinion what the Commission officially
15		thinks and what I think? Probably. And so maybe I should
16		and I don't I don't know that this is a conflict of interest
17		issue, but I should probably make clear in what capacity I'm
18		speaking. But that, again, is not under Chapter 68. That's just,
19		you know, so people know in what capacity I'm speaking.
20	Mr. Scissura:	Okay. Any last questions? Alex, thank you very much.
21		You've been a great help. Thank you.
22	Mr. Kipp:	Good luck, everybody. Thank you.
23	Mr. Scissura:	Thank you. Thank you. Okay. We will now hear from Rachel
24		Cain and Benjamin Miller of the New York City Law
25		Department who will present on the function and history of

3

45

Ms. Rachel Cain:

6

7

8

9

11

12

10

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

the City Charter and some of the past work of past Charter Commissions to help frame our discussions as our commission begins to look forward.

Okay. Good afternoon, everyone. So I'm going to give a brief introduction to the Charter itself and a the -- little bit of history, and then my colleague, Ben Miller, is going to talk about the work of the Commission and the role -- how -- how the Charter can be amended. So the Charter is a document that basically sets out the structure, powers, and responsibilities of City government. It's intended to be what's call-- referred to as a short form document that sets out general authority responsibilities of agencies and elected officials, and the kind of general basic processes of government. For the most part, it doesn't contain the details -- the sort of day-to-day workings of government, or the re-- requirements that are imposed on individuals and businesses. Those kind of details are usually set forth in the Administrative Code or in rules that are promulgated by agencies. There are exceptions to that. So, for example, Chapter 79, which is one chapter that was fairly recently added to the Charter, relates to the Office of Community Hiring and contains basically very specific provisions about that office. So, there -- there is some variation in terms of the level of detail that is set forth. Just to give the sort of general legal context for where the Charter fits in, generally in the United States, local governments get their

25

legal authority from the states that they're located in. In New York State, the legal framework for municipalities is set by the State Constitution and State law. There are a few primary laws that define local -- local authority: the Municipal Home Rule Law, the General City Law, and the General Municipal Law. The Municipal Home Rule Law, in particular, is one that you'll be hearing about later in the presentation that governs the Charter amendment process and gives municipalities broad authority to determine their own structure and sets forth the process for doing so. So a little bit of history: The -- the concept of charters is -- is quite old and -- and dates back to colonial times. But the first charter for New York City, as we think of it now, was adopted in 1897. That was just following the creation of Greater New York, which was formed by combining the former cities of Brooklyn and New York and other municipalities into generally the city as we know it. That charter was very long and had a lot more detail than our -- our current charter. There were many amendments made by state law in the early 1900s, but it still was a lot longer and -- and more detailed than what we have today. The first charter -- in the style of what we call the short form charter that we have today, was implemented in 1936 by a Charter Revision Commission. It was much more focused on – the kind of the broad structure, and a lot of the more detailed provisions were moved into the Administrative Code, which was adopted in --

25

shortly after that 1936 Charter. There have been a number of Charter Revision Commissions over the years, ranging from, you know, 1961 through, you know, several in the '80s, several in the '00s. The -- the most recent one was the Racial Justice Commission, which was appointed by Mayor de Blasio in 2021, and its proposals were approved in 2022. So now I'm going to turn to just a very brief overview of what is in the Charter. So the beginning of the charter -- the first few mic cut out 20:52 chapters are mostly organized by elected officials. So we had -- there's Chapter 1 that covers generally the powers of the mayor; Chapter 2: the City Council; Chapter 4: the Borough Presidents; Chapter 5: Comptroller. These chapters generally explain how the official is elected, what term they serve in office, what their powers and duties are, how they can be removed from office or succeeded if they're not able to serve, and what kind of staff or deputies they can hire or appoint to help them fulfill that office. Then there are many chapters that set forth the basic processes of City government; so the budgeting, spending, administration of City property. So you can see there -- there's -- you know, there's a chapter about the expense budget. There's a chapter about tax appeals; city planning; capital projects; budget process; the Independent Bud-- Independent Budget Office; obligations of the City; procurement, which covers, you know, purchasing by the city; franchises; and City property,

25

generally. And then, the -- the rest of the Charter mostly consists of chapters that correspond to specific agencies, offices, and departments. So there are more than 40 chapters that each cover a different agency and similar to the -- the chapters that cover the elected officials, they'll cover topics such as the appointments to that agency; the city -- I'm sorry, the agency's authority to propagate rules; in many cases, the power to issue subpoenas seeking information; the power to enforce rules and laws within the scope of that agency's authority. And then, there are a number of other chapters that cover specific processes or requirements that are aff-- that are applicable to City officials and agencies. So one of those is Chapter 68: the Conflicts of Interest Law that you just heard a lot about. There is also Chapter 45: the City Administrative Procedure Act, which sets forth the processes for agencies to promulgate rules. Chapter 46 covers elections and voter assistance. Chapter 47: public access to meetings. So that's the -- the Open Meetings Law that you've heard referenced to. Chapter 50 generally covers term limits and qualifications. Chapter 54: ch-- collective bargaining. So these -- you know, we're not going through all of the chap-- chapters, but just to give you -- k-- just kind of orient you and give you a sense of what kind of topics are covered and how the Charter is organized. Now I'm going to turn it over to Ben Miller who's going to talk about the process for amending the Charter.

Mr. Ben Miller:

25

1

Thank you, Rachel. Okay, so as you probably got a sense from Rachel's overview, the Charter is really the closest analog that the City has to a Federal or State Constitution. But unlike those documents, the Charter is -- is a fluid document that is amended relatively frequently. There are four ways that the Charter can be amended. It can be amended by local law, which includes a local law passed in the normal procedure passed by the City Council, signed by the mayor; or a local law that, due to its subject matter, can only be passed with the approval -- voter approval on -- by a referendum. The Charter can be amended by state law. And then the Charter can be amended directly by referendum, either by a voter petition or by a Charter Revision Commission, which is what we are here for today. A Commission -- a Charter Revision Commission is established pursuant to Municipal Home Rule Law § 36. That's a state law. That section sets forth a -- a few ways that a commission can be established, including by the mayor on his own prerogative. A commission established by the mayor may consist of -- must consist of at least 9 members and no more than 15 members. The mayor selects the chair, vice chair, and secretary. All members of the committee must be residents of New York City. So, hopefully you all are New York City residents. And, in contrast to some other appointed positions that are public offices, there is no prohibition under the Municipal Home Rule Law against serving in another

25

public office or public employment. Commissions are not permanent. The Commission expires on the day of the general election, on which the Commission submits proposals to the voters -- unless the Commission does not submit any proposals to the voters, in which case the Commission expires on the date of the second general election following its establishment. I think the intention and the expectation is that this Commission will submit proposals for consideration this November, and so it will dissolve on -- on the day of the election. The powers of the Commission: a Commission may hire employees and consultants, may conduct hearings, take testimony, subpoena witnesses and documents. The Commission may propose amendments to the Charter that could be adopted through regular local law, or only those that -- that -- only amendments that would require a -- a voter referendum, as well. The -- a commission is -- may choose to propose a broad set of m-- amendments that essentially overhauls the Charter or rewrites it, or focus on only particular areas or subject matters. And the Commission may propose amendments to voters as a question, a series of questions, or as alternative options. There are some limits on the powers of a Commission. These, I think, will -- are -- are sort of logical and intuitive. A Commission may not propose amendments beyond the scope of the City's local legislative powers as set forth in the State Constitution and the Municipal Home Rule

25

Law. What this means is that the Commission may not propose changes to the Charter that would be inconsistent with state law and also may not propose changes that would intrude on subject matters governed exclusively under federal law or state law. This is the concept known as preemption. A Commission must review the entire Charter. Now, as I -- as I just said, although as you -- you're required to review the entire Charter, you're not required to do a complete overhaul, and the more common tactic it is to only make some changes to some parts of the Charter. If the Commission -- skipping ahead to that -- down a bullet -- down one bullet -- if the Commission determines to leave part of the Charter unchanged, it must issue a report explaining why it determined to leave such part unchanged. Past Commissions have handled this in a -- in -- in a few different ways. In --- in 2018, the Commission directly addressed that requirement in its report and discussed some topics that it had considered, but ultimately determined to defer to a future Commission. Whereas in 2019 and 2021, the report essentially just explained why the Commission chose to focus on particular topics and sort of by implication that explained why they chose not to focus on other parts. The Commission is required to file its proposals with the City Clerk in a timely manner so that they may be transmitted to the Board of Elections at least three months before the day of the election. This means that at

25

the absolute latest, they must be transmitted to the City Clerk by August 5<sup>th</sup>, 2024, as the election is on November 5<sup>th</sup> -- but --- advised to give a little cushion. You might -- we -- we should aim to have them in -- to submit it to the City Clerk by the end of July. Sorry. Just quickly to discuss a few notable recent Commissions to wrap up: the 1989 Commission. This was the last Commission, I think, that was a complete overhaul of the Charter. That commission followed the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Board of Estimate v. Vorrit -- v. Morris, which found that the Board of Estimate and its voting structure was unconstitutional, because it violated the principle of "One Person, One Vote" because it gave equal voting power to all the borough presidents. The Board of Estimate was -- had an important role in -- in almost every major city process, and so that required that all those processes be rethought, and the powers of the Board of Estimate divvied out to the other actors in city government. In 2020 -- in 2010, there was a Commission. The important change there was they restored the two term limit for elected officials that had been extended to a three term limit only in 2008 via -- via a regular local law without a referendum. And in 2019, there were a number of important changes. One -one big change was the implement -- implementing Ranked Choice Voting for City elections. Another notable change that may be of interest is that in 2019, the appointment of the

1		Corporation Council was made subject to the City Council's
2		advice and consent. As Rachel mentioned, the the last
3		Commission was the Racial Justice Commission. This was in
4		2021. It was charged with examining the Charter to
5		identifying barriers to identify barriers to power, access, and
6		opportunity related to race; and to put forward proposals
7		aimed at removing those barriers, and investing racial equity.
8		As a result of proposals from the 2022 Commission, the
9		Office of Racial Equity was established, and also there was a
10		requirement implemented for Citywide and Agency Racial
11		Equity plans every two years. That concludes our discussion
12		of the Charter and the Charter Revision Commission. We're
13		happy to take any questions.
14	Mr. Scissura:	Great. Thank you very much. I remember a few of those
15		Charter Commissions especially 2010, which was quite
16		fascinating. Any questions from the commissioners?
17	Mr. Miller:	Okay. Well, if any come up, we're (unclear).
18	Mr. Scissura:	Appreciate it. Thank you very much and and to both of you
19		appreciate your thorough. Okay. I'm going to ask Diane
20		Savino, our Executive Director, to say a few words.
21	Ms. Diane Savino:	It will be just a few words. First, on behalf of Mayor Adams,
22		I'm very honored to have been asked to be in this position,
23		and I want to thank the members of the Commission for
24		giving me their vote and their confidence to help put this
25		together. As Alex said, this is a sorry, Ben. Ben said, "This

24

25

is a short sprint." We have to complete the work of this Commission in a very quick period of time, in order to be ready to present our final report by the end of July, no later than August 5<sup>th</sup>. It is going to be a -- a rapid movement, so I'm happy to hear that all of you are enthusiastic. I'm happy to hear that you're excited. I hope you're as excited at the end of this process as you are today. But the one thing I am very proud of is -- I've spent 34 years now in city and state government. Starting first at -- you know, working for the City of New York, then later for a DC 37 Local, onto the State Senate, and back to the City of New York. Finding people who are dedicated to public service is hard. Finding people who do it for a living is hard enough, but finding people who are willing to volunteer their time to reform the way city government operates, to make our city better is incredibly empowering. And so I am so proud to be part of this process to help this Commission achieve its work. And I'm happy to have my g-- general counsel sitting next to me. Ed Kiernan in the back is the Chief of Staff -- Robert Cataldo. There will be other people who are joining this to help us get to where we need to be to meet the deadline so that we will have opportunities before the voters -- after we have heard from the

Mr. Scissura:

Thank you, Diane. And I also want to echo a thanks to the

voters themselves. So that's what this commission is about,

and I'm just so happy to be part of it. Thank you.

1 staff. It's a lot of work. It's a lot of writing. It's a lot of 2 research. It's a lot of meetings. So I thank you in advance for 3 keeping you busy, at least, for the next few weeks of your life. So, just a couple of closing remarks: First of all, again, thank 4 5 you. I thank the folks who are here that came out to our 6 organizational meeting. We will have a lot of meetings 7 coming up. The staff will be working to finalize dates for our 8 upcoming public hearings. As I mentioned, I am committed to 9 a meeting in every borough; one in the morning, one in the 10 evening. I think it's important to give people the opportunity. Meeting dates will be posted on the Charter Revision 11 12 Commission website at www.nyc.gov/charter and will be 13 shared with the media. We will be putting everything out 14 there; all the meeting notices -- everything will go on this website. And if -- before I close and ask for a motion to 15 16 adjourn, do any commissioners want to add anything before we close the meeting? 17 18 Dr. Dukes: I'm always concerned about morning meetings. Our -- our 19 seniors are available, but sometimes the voices of mothers and 20 fathers and young -- the young Gen X -- what is it? 21 Ms. Savino: Millennials. 22 Dr. Dukes: Millennials -- or whatever the title is, right? Most of them are 23 going back to work or working at home. So I really want us to 24 think about morning meetings. Evening meetings is tough, 25 too, for people, but I think we give a more opening to people

1		to say evenings more than mornings.
2	Mr. Scissura:	Yeah. We agree and that's why we're going to do both just
3		so that there's an opportunity for everyone's voice to be
4		heard. Obviously, you know, the world has changed since
5		2020. Remote opportunities and Zooms and all these things
6		are are out there. So we'll be looking at how to best allow
7		people to participate and and hear what's going on. And I
8		think that'll be an important thing as well. We are going to do
9		our best to to be in locations that are accessible to mass
10		transit and, you know, ways of getting there, and and we'll
11		do our best to accommodate everyone. Yes, ma'am.
12	Ms. McGraw:	Yeah. Yeah, I have a question. So how is the community
13		going to get the information about attending these very
14		important meetings? That's an issue with, you know
15	Mr. Scissura:	Yeah. So
16	Ms. McGraw:	an area
17	Mr. Scissura:	good
18	Ms. McGraw:	we're in.
19	Mr. Scissura:	good question. I know we have many members of the press
20		here. I'm sure they're going to be posting it and tweeting
21		about it and whatever access there is. And that would be
22		helpful, by the way, 'cause it's important that
23	Ms. McGraw:	(Unclear)
24	Mr. Scissura:	New Yorkers know this.
25	Ms. McGraw:	And do we get a oh, excuse me, (unclear).

1	Mr. Scissura:	No, please go ahead. I'm sorry.
2	Ms. McGraw:	So do we get an opportunity to, as well, inform the people that
3		we deal with
4	Mr. Scissura:	Absolutely.
5	Ms. McGraw:	in our community. 'Cause if we go into some areas we
6		deal with a lot of the least of these and we deal - we deal -
7		we're working in marginalized community - and underserved
8		community, and a lot of times they are the last ones to get the
9		information. A lot of times they don't even get the
10		information, you know. When we're out in the city, in the
11		streets, and we – we have an event and we ask –
12	Mr. Scissura:	You should (unclear).
13	Ms. McGraw:	our community: Do you know that every precinct has a
14		domestic violence unit?
15	Mr. Scissura:	No one knows.
16	Ms. McGraw:	And no one knows that in our community. So, that is my
17		concern. How can we work? 'Cause I didn't just agree to take
18		this job. I am serious about what I'm doing here because I see
19		it every day from where I come from. So how do how does
20		that work?
21	Mr. Scissura:	Great. So we're putting together the lists of dates. We will get
22		it out in every means possible. We will do our best to get it to
23		community groups, to community boards, to precinct councils.
24		We will the public meetings I think we're going to post it,
25		right? Where?

1	Mr. Kiernan:	I mean, it'll be on our website. It'll be
2	Mr. Scissura:	But where do we by by law we have to post it
3		somewhere.
4	Ms. Savino:	Right.
5	Mr. Kiernan:	Oh. In the Surrogates Court will be posted. It'll be
6	Ms. Savino:	Here. If you if you can.
7	Mr. Scissura:	Yeah. Go ahead.
8	Mr. Kiernan:	No, so we have there's a a wide a aarray places
9		where we're required to to post it. You know, we send it out
10		to every media outlet. It'll be all over our website over the
11		city's website. But we really rely on you as community
12		leaders to to get the word out. So, you know, we and
13	Ms. McGraw:	Me and Gianna (unclear).
14	Mr. Kiernan:	yeah. At all the (unclear) meetings
15	Mr. Scissura:	I I would add I would add one important thing and I
16		think we should ask the Mayor's Office of CAU they have
17		various distribution lists. They should be sending out the
18		notices of the meetings, et cetera, as well.
19	Bishop Seabrooks:	Yeah. Church would be a big, big avenue for information,
20		'cause most of if the leaders get the information, then they -
21		- they could put it in bulletins or speak on Sunday morning
22		about meetings that are being held.
23	Mr. Scissura:	Any other questions or comments from the Commission
24		members? Okay. Hearing none then without yes, ma'am.
25		Oh, you're going to make a motion. Alright. Motion to

1		adjourn today to close today's meeting. Do I have a second?
2	Ms. Savino:	Second.
3	Mr. Scissura:	Any discussion on the motion? All in favor? Aye. Any
4		opposed? Any abstentions? Alright. We will see you all very
5		soon. Have a great day everybody.
6		END OF AUDIO FILE