

27 SEP 2024

Fitch Rates New York City, NY's \$1.5B Fiscal 2025 Ser D GO Bonds 'AA'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - New York - 27 Sep 2024: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA' rating to the following city of New York, NY general obligation (GO) bonds, fiscal 2025 series D:

--\$820,000,000 taxable social bonds, subseries D-1;

--\$680,000,000 taxable bonds, subseries D-2.

The bonds will be priced on October 9 via negotiation. Proceeds of the subseries D-1 bonds will be used to reimburse the city for prior spending on affordable housing; subseries D-2 proceeds will be used for capital purposes.

Fitch has also affirmed the city's Issuer Default Rating (IDR), the ratings on the city's outstanding GO bonds, and the rating on various bank bonds associated with the certain outstanding adjustable rate bonds, at 'AA'.

Fitch has additionally affirmed the ratings on the following obligations which the city supports through its commitment to appropriate for debt service:

--Approximately \$16.9 million special revenue bonds (New York City-New York Stock Exchange Project) series 2019A and bank bonds associated with \$30 million in special revenue bonds (New York City-New York Stock Exchange Project) series 2004B, issued by the New York City Industrial Development Agency (IDA), at 'AA-'

--Outstanding New York City Health and Hospitals Corp (NYCHHC) health system bonds, at 'AA-'.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

New York City's 'AA' Long-Term IDR and GO bond ratings reflect New York City's exceptionally strong budget monitoring and controls, supporting Fitch Ratings' 'aa' financial resilience assessment given the city's 'high' revenue control, 'mid-range' expenditure control and Fitch's expectation that the city will maintain reserves at or above 7.5% of spending. For the purposes of this calculation, Fitch includes unrestricted general fund reserves (the sum of committed, assigned and unassigned), the available balance in the retirees' health benefits trust (RHBT) and the FYE budget stabilization and discretionary transfers of surplus for prepayment of certain of the following year's operating expenditures. The available balance as of FYE 2023 was \$12.8 billion, equal to 11.8% of expenditures and transfers out.

The city's demographic and economic trend and level metrics are assessed as 'weak' and 'mid-range',

respectively, relative to Fitch's local government ratings portfolio. High educational attainment levels and 'midrange' income and unemployment levels mitigate the city's 'weak' population trend.

These factors help offset the city's elevated long-term liability burden, which Fitch assesses as 'weak' due to the exceptionally high liabilities-to-personal income metric of 27%, elevated carrying costs and moderate liabilities compared to total governmental revenues when compared to Fitch's local government ratings portfolio. Fitch expects the city's long-term liabilities to remain elevated compared to personal income levels. This expectation is based on future debt needs, the status of the city's net pension liabilities (NPLs) over time (assuming actuarial assumptions are met) and improvement in the resource base.

The ratings additionally reflect the application of a one-notch positive Additional Analytical Factor recognizing the city's important role and significant contributions to the growing New York-Newark-Jersey City, NY-NJ-PA metropolitan statistical area (MSA), the largest MSA in the nation. The MSA is the largest contributor of national metropolitan area real GDP, accounting for 9.5% of the total generated in 2022.

The city experienced record revenue performance and strong recovery coming out of the pandemic, as well as improvement in reserve levels, which will help management navigate future economic downturns. The city's near-term challenges include expected deceleration of revenue growth, rising personnel costs, continued management of asylum seeker costs and other uncertainties associated with a still high interest rate environment.

Fitch expects the city to maintain its strong budget and fiscal management practices, notwithstanding recent events surrounding the city's mayor. This includes continuing to achieve general fund operational stability while maintaining reserves at close to or better-than-current levels. Fitch's expectations for the resumption of revenue growth, following near-term economic interruptions associated with elevated interest rates and periods of high inflation, coupled with continued careful expense management and use of budgetary tools, support these expectations. Prepayment of expenses and availability of reserves will further mitigate risks associated with unexpected cost pressures or revenues falling short of budget.

Rating Sensitivities

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/ Downgrade

--A sustained erosion of the city's reserve cushion to below 7.5% of spending, which would lead to a change in the financial resilience assessment to below 'aa';

--An approximate 50% sustained increase in long-term liabilities associated with debt and NPLs and carrying costs, assuming current levels of personal income and governmental resources;

--Weakened underlying economic and demographic performance, particularly around median household income (MHI) and unemployment rates;

--Fitch's view that the capacity to manage changes in the city's other post-employment benefit (OPEB) liabilities is constrained and, as a result, unfunded OPEB liabilities will remain very high.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

--Expectation for maintenance of available reserves above 10% of general fund spending;

--An approximate 30% decrease in long-term liabilities and carrying costs, assuming current levels of personal income, governmental revenues and spending;

--Notable improvement in the city's demographic and economic strengths metrics, evidenced most notably by higher resident income and improved population trends.

SECURITY

The GO bonds carry a pledge of New York City's full faith and credit, supported by a levy by the city of ad valorem taxes (without limit as to rate or amount) on all real property within the city subject to taxation. The city is not subject to New York State's property tax cap.

Fitch's Local Government Rating Model

The Local Government Rating Model generates Model Implied Ratings, which communicate the issuer's credit quality relative to Fitch's local government rating portfolio. (The Model Implied Rating will be the IDR except in certain circumstances explained in the applicable criteria.) The Model Implied Rating is expressed via a numerical value calibrated to Fitch's long-term rating scale that ranges from 10.0 or higher (AAA), 9.0 (AA+), 8.0 (AA), and so forth down to 1.0 (BBB- and below).

Model Implied Ratings reflect the combination of issuer-specific metrics and assessments to generate a Metric Profile and a structured framework to account for Additional Analytical Factors not captured in the Metric Profile that can either mitigate or exacerbate credit risks. Additional Analytical Factors are reflected in notching from the Metric Profile and are capped at +/-3 notches.

Ratings Headroom & Positioning

New York City Model Implied Rating: 'AA' (Numerical Value: 8.49)

-- Metric Profile: 'AA-' (Numerical Value: 7.49)

-- Net Additional Analytical Factor Notching: +1.0

Individual Additional Analytical Notching Factors:

-- Economic and Institutional Strength: +1.0

New York City's Model Implied Rating is 'AA'. The associated numerical value of 8.49 is in the middle of the 8.0 to 9.0 range for its current 'AA' rating.

Key Rating Drivers

Financial Profile

Financial Resilience - 'aa'

New York City's financial resilience is driven by the combination of its 'High' revenue control assessment and 'Midrange' expenditure control assessment, culminating in a 'High Midrange' budgetary flexibility assessment.

- Revenue control assessment: High
- Expenditure control assessment: Midrange
- Budgetary flexibility assessment: High Midrange
- Minimum fund balance for current financial resilience assessment: $\geq 7.5\%$
- Current year fund balance to expenditure ratio: 11.8% (2023)
- Five-year low fund balance to expenditure ratio: 8.6% (2023)

Revenue Volatility - 'Strongest'

New York City's weakest historic three-year revenue performance is neutral to the Model Implied Rating.

The revenue volatility metric is an estimate of potential revenue volatility based on the issuer's historical experience relative to the median for the Fitch-rated local government portfolio. The metric helps to differentiate issuers by the scale of revenue loss that would have to be addressed through revenue raising, cost controls or utilization of reserves through economic cycles.

- Lowest three-year revenue performance (based on revenues dating back to 2005): 5.5% increase for the three-year period ending fiscal 2011
- Median issuer decline: -4.7% (2023)

Demographic and Economic Strength

Population Trend - 'Weakest'

Based on the median of 10-year annual percentage change in population, New York City's population trend is assessed as 'Weakest'.

Population trend: -0.2% 2022 median of 10-year annual percentage change in population (7th percentile)

Unemployment, Educational Attainment and MHI Level - 'Midrange'

The overall strength of New York City's demographic and economic level indicators (unemployment

rate, educational attainment, median household income [MHI]) in 2023 are assessed as 'Midrange' on a composite basis, performing at the 44th percentile of Fitch's local government rating portfolio. This is due to high education attainment levels offsetting low median-issuer indexed adjusted MHI and elevated unemployment rate.

-- Unemployment rate as a percentage of national rate: 122.2% 2023 (26th percentile), relative to the national rate of 3.6%

-- Percent of population with a bachelor's degree or higher: 40.2% (2022) (75th percentile)

-- MHI as a percent of the portfolio median: 89.0% (2022) (32nd percentile)

Economic Concentration and Population Size - 'Strongest'

New York City's population in 2023 was of sufficient size and the economy was sufficiently diversified to qualify for Fitch's highest overall size/diversification category.

The composite metric acts asymmetrically, with most issuers (above the 15th percentile for each metric) sufficiently diversified to minimize risks associated with small population and economic concentration. Downward effects of the metric on the Metric Profile are most pronounced for the least economically diverse issuers (in the fifth percentile for the metric or lower). The economic concentration percentage shown below is defined as the sum of the absolute deviation of the percentage of personal income by major economic sectors relative to the U.S. distribution.

-- Population size: 8,258,035 (2023) (above the 15th percentile)

-- Economic concentration: 36.5% Analyst Input (above the 15th percentile) (vs. 34.4% 2023 Actual)

Demographic and Economic Strength Additional Analytical Factors and Notching: +1.0 notch (for Economic and Institutional Strength)

A one-notch positive Additional Analytical Factor has been applied to recognize the city's important role and significant contributions to the growing New York-Newark-Jersey City, NY-NJ-PA MSA, the largest MSA in the nation. The MSA is the largest contributor of national metropolitan area real GDP, accounting for 9.5% of the national total generated in 2022. The MSA accounts for close to 10.3 million jobs and ranks as the largest job market in the nation.

Long-Term Liability Burden

Long-Term Liability Burden - 'Weak'

New York City's carrying costs to governmental expenditures and liabilities to personal income remain weak while liabilities to governmental revenue remain midrange. The long-term liability composite metric in 2023 is at the 23rd percentile, indicating a somewhat elevated liability burden relative to the Fitch's local government rating portfolio.

-- Liabilities to personal income: 29.1% Analyst Input (1st percentile) (vs. 27.3% 2023 Actual)

- Liabilities to governmental revenue: 174.4% Analyst Input (52nd percentile) (vs. 163.6% 2023 Actual)
- Carrying costs to governmental expenditures: 19.0% (2023) (24th percentile)

Analyst Inputs to the Model

Analyst inputs to the model reflect metric adjustments to account for historical data anomalies, forward-looking performance shifts or non-recurring events that may otherwise skew the time series.

Direct debt was adjusted to reflect principal amortization through the end of fiscal 2024 and includes this issuance, plus the addition of non-refunding city GO bond and New York City Transitional Finance Authority (TFA) revenue bond issuances occurring subsequent to the end of fiscal 2023.

PROFILE

Fitch considers the city's status as an international center for numerous industries and a major tourism destination, as well as its proven resilience through the recent and prior severe economic disruptions, as credit strengths. Employment recovery had lagged national trends following the pandemic, but job growth picked up notably during calendar years 2022 and 2023 and employment in the city has now recovered to pre-pandemic levels.

The local economy and operating budget remain strongly linked to the financial activities sector, which was relatively unaffected by the pandemic and accounts for 25% of earnings, compared with 10% for the U.S., according to 2022 data. Professional and business services accounted for 21% of earnings during the same period, and this sector, along with the financial activities sector, has a higher share of wage earnings than the other service-producing and governmental sectors in the city based on 2022 data.

Residents' per capita personal income was approximately 122% of the U.S. level in 2022. However, the city's above-average individual poverty rate of 17.2% exceeds the national rate of 12.5%, indicative of some income disparity and the demand for social services, also common in other large urban U.S. cities.

Estimated census figures for July 2023 report New York City's population at 8,258,035, a 6.2% decrease from 2020. The city is the most populous city in the U.S. and its population is larger than the combined populations of Los Angeles and Chicago, the next two most populous cities in the nation.

The city's tourism sector is an important driver of revenues, with a reported record of nearly 67 million visitors in 2019 and 62 million visitors in 2023, according to New York City Tourism + Conventions. The city experienced reduced activity due to the pandemic; however, activity has rebounded, as evidenced by higher hotel occupancy during 2023 when compared with pre-pandemic levels and higher average daily room rates and air traveler numbers as reported by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey.

Fitch does not expect current hybrid work-from-home arrangements to change materially in the near term. These arrangements will likely adversely affect commercial property values and tax revenues and

could constrain growth in tax revenues generated by retail and entertainment activity. The full impact on commercial real estate tax revenues will take longer to become clear, as commercial lease terms are typically up to 10 years.

Additionally, the high interest rate environment has stalled commercial transactions and limited refinancing opportunities for property owners with costly variable-rate mortgages. Manhattan office vacancy rates remain high by historical standards and Fitch expects that they could remain stubbornly high over the near to medium term.

Depending on the magnitude of decline, a change in a property's market value is typically phased in over five years. The taxable billable assessed value (TBAV) is the basis for the tax levy and is based on the lower of the actual value (45% of the current year market value) or transitional assessed value (which is the cumulative value of the phase-ins from the five-year market value changes). This phase-in of changes in value helps mitigate the potential volatility of tax revenue changes and their impact on annual operating budgets.

The fiscal 2025 TBAV of \$300 billion was up by 4% yoy, following growth of 4% in fiscal 2024 and 7% in fiscal 2023 and a 5% decline in fiscal 2022 due to the pandemic. Class 4 TBAV, which consists of commercial properties such as office buildings, factories, stores and vacant land, increased 2.3% in fiscal 2025. The increases are being supported by new trophy buildings, which have garnered demand. For the June 2024 five-year financial plan, city-wide TBAV is projected to increase by a more conservative 2.2%, 3.0% and 1.9% in fiscal years 2026, 2027 and 2028, respectively.

Office buildings accounted for approximately 18.2% of the total net billed property tax levy in fiscal 2024 of \$33.75 billion, and about 7.6% of projected fiscal 2024 total city funds revenues. Office buildings accounted for close to half of the class 4 net property tax levy of \$13.14 billion.

Fitch considers class 4 office and retail properties to be currently vulnerable to downward changes in value, but also recognizes that these associated revenues are a smaller part of the city's overall diverse revenue base, while changes in value are potentially mitigated by the phase-in process. Stability of other property class values and growth in non-property tax revenues will be key to offsetting any larger-than-anticipated declines.

The city has been proactive in supporting the re-purposing of office buildings for residential use, but such transitions can be difficult and costly for developers, depending on the building format, and are expected to be a longer-term solution to higher vacancy rates.

Management continues its efforts to improve the supply of affordable housing to help retain existing residents and attract new residents, considering the rising cost of rental properties and limited availability city-wide.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores>.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Kevin Dolan

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+1 212 908 0538

Fitch Ratings, Inc. Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Michael Rinaldi

Senior Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+1 212 908 0833

Karen Ribble

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+1 415 732 5611

Media Contacts





Sandro Scenga

New York

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

| ENTITY/DEBT | RATING | RECOVERY | PRIOR | |
|--|--------|---|----------|---|
| New York City (NY) [General Government] | LT IDR | AA  | Affirmed | AA  |
| • New | LT | AA-  | Affirmed | AA-  |

| ENTITY/DEBT | RATING | RECOVERY | PRIOR |
|-------------|--------|----------|-------|
|-------------|--------|----------|-------|

York
City
(NY)
/City
Appropriation
-
HHC/
1 LT

| | | | |
|--|-------|----------|-------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New York City (NY) /City LT Appropriation - NYSE/ 1 LT | AA- ● | Affirmed | AA- ● |
|--|-------|----------|-------|

| | | | |
|---|------|----------|------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New York City (NY) /General Obligation^{LT} - Unlimited Tax/ 1 LT | AA ● | Affirmed | AA ● |
|---|------|----------|------|

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

| | | |
|-----------------|---|---|
| POSITIVE | ⊕ | ◇ |
| NEGATIVE | ⊖ | ◇ |
| EVOLVING | ⊙ | ◆ |

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

STABLE



Applicable Criteria

[U.S. Public Finance Local Government Rating Criteria \(pub.02 Apr 2024\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

U.S. Local Government Rating Model, v1.2.0 [\(1\)](#)

Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

Endorsement Status

New York Liberty Development Corporation (NY) EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and

shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see [Best- and Worst-Case Measures](#) under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the

requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.