

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Reports | | |
| Status: Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 1 OF 10 |

Reports

1 Guiding Principles and Scope

- 1.1 Case reports bring together all of the analytical results and conclusions found in the case notes. Reports must be clear and accurate, and avoid overly technical terminology and misleading statements.
- 1.2 If it becomes necessary for an additional report or associated suspect report to be authored by a criminalist who did not author the previous case report, nor were they the prior Technical Reviewer of the case, the [CASE RECORDS REVIEW-PREVIOUSLY REPORTED RESULTS form](#) must be completed and placed in the case file by the author of the additional report.

2 General guidelines

- 2.1 Overly technical terminology or misleading statements must be avoided. The conclusions in each report must be supported by the analytical data.
- 2.2 Each reviewer must document the completion of the technical and administrative reviews.
- 2.3 DNA reports must include the following:
 - 2.3.1 Case identifiers
 - 2.3.2 List of evidence and date received
 - 2.3.3 Description of the methodology
 - 2.3.4 Loci tested and/or Amplification Test Kit used
 - 2.3.5 Results and conclusions
 - 2.3.6 An interpretive statement, either quantitative (statistics) or qualitative
 - 2.3.7 Report date
 - 2.3.8 Disposition of evidence
 - 2.3.9 Signature and title of person accepting responsibility for the content of the report
 - 2.3.10 Appendix containing explanatory statements and definitions of terms.

Controlled versions of Department of Forensic Biology Manuals only exist in the Forensic Biology Qualtrax software. All printed versions are non-controlled copies.

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status: Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 2 OF 10 |

- 2.4 The above requirements are met in the sections of the report: top block, RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS, EVIDENCE RECEIVED, DISPOSITION, signature block, and APPENDIX.
- 2.4.1 The date(s) of the performance of laboratory activity are determined from the evidence received date to the date the report was issued.
- 2.5 Serology or additional reports may not require all of the above.
- 2.6 Report templates are available and should be used. These report templates have many pre-written statements which are applicable to most cases and save valuable time by eliminating the need to write the same sentences repeatedly. There are different template reports depending on case type and testing performed (Serology, DNA, suspect, missing persons, etc.); make sure the correct template is used for the type of case analyzed. Suspect samples compared to evidence results typed in different systems (ex. Identifiler or Fusion) may need multiple reports in order to use the correct template for each comparison. The complete appendix section for each template must remain in every report. Pre-written statements cannot cover every possible case scenario and should be modified as necessary for accuracy.
- ### 3 Evidence reports versus suspect (exemplar) reports
- 3.1 The DNA typing of evidence is often completed long before a suspect is identified or an exemplar is provided from an identified suspect. Sometimes, more than one suspect is developed on a case, such as when the initial suspect has been eliminated (especially with pattern cases). It is also possible for a suspect whose DNA was collected for one investigation to end up linked to a totally different case. For these reasons, an evidence report stands alone, without inclusion of any suspect DNA typing results.
- 3.2 The evidence report describes the examination of any evidence that was submitted, DNA typing results from the evidence and victim/elimination sample(s), and the statistical statements of the DNA typing results of the evidence.
- 3.3 The evidence report may have the name, arrest number and/or NYSID (New York State Identification) number of an identified suspect in the top block of the report.
- 3.4 If an evidence case is linked to another evidence case or pattern, *the link between the cases is described in the evidence report(s)*. When making comparisons to other cases in the pattern, list the linked cases (case number, victim, complainant names, and all LIMS REPORT ID(s), if applicable, or report date) in the summary.
- 3.5 If a suspect is linked to a case or pattern, *the link between the suspect and the evidence is described in the suspect report*. If the suspect is linked to only one case, the precinct and complaint number information can be included; if linked to a pattern, the information may be left out. If a suspect is submitted for a complaint number for which no evidence has been submitted, this is indicated in the ADDITIONAL INFORMATION section using the standardized report

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status:Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 3 OF 10 |

template statement.

- 3.5.1 Where a suspect sample is being compared to DNA profiles in multiple cases, each suspect report (suspect to case 1, suspect to case 2, etc.) should be able to stand on its own if the cases are of vastly different types (e.g., a burglary and a sexual assault) or reporting comparisons from different test types (e.g., Fusion vs. Identifiler or autosomal vs. mitochondrial).
 - 3.5.2 If the multiple cases are part of a “normal” pattern, a single suspect report can address the matching cases simultaneously. List all cases where comparisons are being made (case number, victim, complainant, and/or entity names, and all LIMS REPORT ID(s), if applicable, or report date(s)).
 - 3.5.3 For suspect kits, the evidence and suspect comparison may be written within one combined report, using the applicable report template.
- 3.6 At least one, initial report must be written for each submitted suspect. After an initial suspect report is written, an additional suspect report must be written if comparison is requested later to results that are suitable for comparison. An additional suspect report is not required in the following situations:
- 3.6.1 First round of evidence is tested, suspect is compared and initial report issued. Additional evidence is tested and is insufficient.
 - 3.6.2 An initial suspect report is issued before evidence arrives at the lab. Evidence is now tested, and the case is insufficient.
 - 3.6.3 An initial suspect report is issued before evidence arrives at the lab. Evidence is now tested, none of the samples are suitable for comparison.
 - 3.6.4 A suspect is associated with numerous cases (ex. pattern or gun). One or more suspect reports are issued on the first sets of cases. Additional cases arrive and all results of testing are insufficient and/or not suitable for comparison.
 - 3.6.5 A suspect sample is tested and the results are insufficient or not suitable for comparison (ex. an abandonment sample that resulted in a mixture). An initial report is issued before evidence arrives in the lab.
- 3.7 If an additional suspect report is not issued under 3.6, the documentation of this decision may be recorded in the LIMS case record for the suspect file. In the Linked Cases tab within the suspect case record, the relevant evidence file is linked. If an additional suspect report is not needed, enter into the right most column “No comparison to CRT(s)” and the relevant evidence CRT#(s) where the suspect comparison report was not required.
- 3.8 For a suspect file where an initial report was issued based on results from an abandonment sample,

Controlled versions of Department of Forensic Biology Manuals only exist in the Forensic Biology Qualtrax software. All printed versions are non-controlled copies.

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status:Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 4 OF 10 |

and then a true exemplar is submitted for the same suspect, the additional report that is issued needs to be a complete report, re-presenting of all the needed comparisons and relevant statistics. The report includes a statement which indicates that the testing results of the true exemplar match the results obtained from the abandonment sample, unless the true exemplar is associated with a protective order.

- 3.9 Interpretation of evidence must be completed prior to comparison to a suspect. If a sample from a suspect is available, write the evidence report prior to writing a suspect comparison report.
- 3.10 If a suspect is subsequently found to match a case, an additional report is issued as indicated in section 4.
- 3.11 When reporting results on an abandonment sample submitted for a suspect it must be clear from the report that the result was not from a buccal- or blood-sample. Depending on the results obtained, there may need to be additional statements about mixtures. In all abandonment sample reports, a request for a true exemplar (oral swab) must be made. Refer to the report template for the wording to address these situations.
- 3.12 For a kinship (paternity, maternity, etc.) case, a single report is generated using the paternity report template. Both FB numbers are used on the report and a copy of the report is kept with each case record.

4 Additional and Amended Reports

- 4.1 Additional reports are written when additional work (interpretations and/or testing) has been performed on a case where testing results have been previously reported. If an additional report is generated, this will be noted immediately prior to the RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS section using the standard statement from the report template.
 - 4.1.1 In instances where additional reports are generated, the analyst who interpreted the most recent results will author the most recent report. The RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS section generally discusses only the new analyses. If the new data includes additional genetic testing, the report may be cumulative, including the new genetic testing results plus the genetic testing results from past reports.
- 4.2 Amended reports are written when it has been determined that an error in previously reported results has occurred. Consult the Control of Non-Conforming Work section of the QA/QC Manual to assess the extent in which the error must be documented for Quality Assurance purposes. If an amended report is generated, this will be noted immediately prior to the RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS section using the standard statement from the report template.
 - 4.2.1 In instances where amended reports are generated, the original reporting analyst will sign the most recent report. The entire previous report, including the amendment, is generated.

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status:Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 5 OF 10 |

5 Top block

- 5.1 Each report will be on the most current version of the department letterhead and will have specific identifying information in the top block. Not all of the following are available for each case. The information may vary depending on the case type and/or whether the case is an NYPD submitted case. If there are discrepancies between spelling of first and last names of a complainant within paperwork submitted for a case, use the information present on the 61 (police report) submitted by the NYPD.
- 5.1.1 Report date indicating the date the final report was generated
 - 5.1.2 Name of deceased or complainant
 - 5.1.3 Forensic Biology case number
 - 5.1.4 Medical Examiner case number
 - 5.1.5 Physician that conducted the autopsy and autopsy date
 - 5.1.6 Name of suspect
 - 5.1.7 Arrest number and/or NYSID number of suspect
 - 5.1.8 NYPD complaint number

6 Results and Conclusions

- 6.1 The Results and Conclusions section contains a summary of results and/or conclusions and the interpretive statement (quantitative or qualitative) that provides weight to any associations made.
- 6.2 Before writing results and conclusions, ask yourself “WHAT DOES THE READER OF THE REPORT NEED TO KNOW?” Then choose the statements which best answer those questions.
- 6.3 The template reports contain many pre-written sentences to guide the explanations and interpretation of results.
- 6.4 The first part of Results and Conclusions is a brief synopsis of the analytical results; it should answer the questions that were posed by the submission of the physical evidence, such as: Was blood presumptively found? Was male DNA detected? Was a DNA profile of a donor determined? Are there DNA profiles foreign to the victim?
- 6.5 The synopsis contains information, where applicable, regarding database comparisons or suitability of entry of profiles into DNA databases.

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status: Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 6 OF 10 |

- 6.5.1 Positive associations of evidentiary or suspect DNA profiles to DNA profiles in local databases are reported in the applicable case report.
- 6.5.2 Negative results on database searches of evidentiary or suspect profiles are reported in a case report only in the following circumstances:
- The search is a one-time event and the evidentiary or suspect DNA profile will not be entered into the local databases, and/or
A suspect sample was submitted specifically for comparison to local DNA databases.
- 6.5.3 Case reports must identify the DNA profiles that are suitable for entry into DNA databases, and which level of database/CODIS the profile will ultimately reside.
- 6.6 Within the report templates, sections are included that cover the vast majority of testing results that will be needed. Instructions within each section indicate when to apply each section or statement to the results within the case. All items that are examined and tested must be mentioned in the report. Sections include:
- 6.6.1 Serology and Male Screening
- 6.6.2 Samples with Sufficient DNA Detected
- 6.6.3 Database Expungement or Entry
- 6.6.4 **Samples with Insufficient DNA Detected or Inconclusive DNA Results**
- 6.6.5 Negative Serology
- 6.7 The testing of victim or elimination samples must be mentioned in the report. If the sample was not compared to any evidentiary results, the testing and development of a profile from that victim or elimination sample must be stated.
- 6.8 If multiple results were generated for the same sample (ex. STR results are obtained from both Zygem direct amplification and a differential extraction from the same swab, or multiple STRmix runs were performed on the same set of results), the most informative result is generally chosen for the report. All results shall be included within the case record, and any results that are not reported will be indicated as such within the casefile.
- 6.9 Clearly differentiate between similar items so that there is no confusion regarding which test results and conclusions apply to which items. For example, items can be differentiated by color or other descriptions: ex. blue shirt, green shirt.
- 6.10 Avoid the exclusive use of item numbers alone when reporting samples tested since that forces the reader to look elsewhere to find out what is being described. However, item numbers can be used in conjunction with the item descriptions for instances when similar items are submitted and the

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status:Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 7 OF 10 |

item description alone will not suffice in differentiating one item from the other. Notations used by the collecting officer to identify samples may be useful to differentiate between many items as well. Examples: grey duct tape (item 1), grey duct tape (item 2).

- 6.11 If items were removed from an object, location or person, it may be useful to put that information in the summary. Quotation marks may be used to indicate wording that has been copied EXACTLY as it is written elsewhere, including any misspellings or abbreviations. Examples: swab of “bedroom door”, shirt from “the defendant”, swab of “trigger/trigger guard”
- 6.11.1 If there is conflicting information in the voucher, request for laboratory examination, and/or crime scene report, it may be impossible to determine which is correct; in that case, do not include any information.
- 6.12 Trace evidence (hairs, fibers, etc.) collected while examining evidence are mentioned in the summary using the report template statements.
- 6.13 If nothing of evidentiary interest was found on an item, use the template statements to report the negative results.
- 6.14 Positive associations can be described by a qualitative match statement and/or a statistic. Statistics are generally calculated for samples when an exemplar (victim/elimination/suspect) is submitted for comparison, and that comparison is probative and/or the individual is not expected to be found on that item. Some examples include:
- 6.14.1 victim on suspect’s shirt
- 6.14.2 suspect included/excluded on weapon
- 6.15 Statistics are not calculated for expected inclusions such as epithelial cells from a swab giving a profile consistent with the donor of the swab. (e.g. victim on her own vaginal swab)
- 6.16 If multiple samples from the same location or item generate the same single source or deconvoluted profile, it may only be necessary to calculate and report a statistic for one of the samples. The remainder of the samples can be reported using a qualitative match statement. Consider each scenario on a case-by-case basis.
- 6.17 After a summary is written, review it carefully. Does it answer all of the questions? Is it clear? Are all submitted items and test results accounted for?

7 Evidence received

- 7.1 This section lists all evidence received, whether from a submitting agency or from an autopsy.
- 7.2 All items signed into the case, whether or not they were examined, are listed in the EVIDENCE RECEIVED section.

Controlled versions of Department of Forensic Biology Manuals only exist in the Forensic Biology Qualtrax software. All printed versions are non-controlled copies.

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status:Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 8 OF 10 |

7.3 The Evidence Received section lists the item number, voucher number, date received, and description of each item.

7.3.1 If items were removed from an object, location or person, it is useful to put that information in the description. Use quotation marks to indicate an exact copy of information written elsewhere.

| ITEM | VOUCHER | DATE REC'D | DESCRIPTION |
|------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 1000100100 | 4/15/17 | swab of "bedroom door" |
| 1 | | 4/21/17 | shirt |
| 1 | — | 4/10/17 | bloodstain card from victim |

7.3.2 If several items are submitted as one, give all items individual item numbers.

| ITEM | VOUCHER | DATE REC'D | DESCRIPTION |
|------|------------|------------|----------------|
| 1.1 | 1000100100 | 4/15/17 | cigarette butt |
| 1.2 | | | cigarette butt |
| 1.3 | | | cigarette butt |

On the voucher, the cigarette butts were identified as "item 1". Upon opening the package, there were three; they were given the identifiers 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3.

7.3.3 For sexual assault kits, list the item as "sexual offense evidence collection kit from Jane Doe:" with the sub-items listed below this header.

7.3.4 Use complete descriptions as opposed to abbreviations (ex. "left nails" as opposed to "L nails").

7.3.5 Indicate any submitted items that weren't included on the voucher as follows:

| ITEM | VOUCHER | DATE REC'D | DESCRIPTION |
|------|------------|------------|------------------------------|
| 1.1 | 1000100100 | 4/15/17 | shoe |
| 1.2 | | | sock (not listed on voucher) |

7.3.6 If upon opening the items it was discovered that the description on the voucher was incorrect (for example, a tank top was submitted, but the voucher says "T-shirt"), ensure

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| Reports | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Status:Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 9 OF 10 |

that the correct description is in the EVIDENCE RECEIVED section.

- 7.3.7 List items submitted to the laboratory but not examined, including post-mortem items submitted from the medical examiner. These items will be marked as (not examined). For sexual assault kit buccal swabs that are re-packaged and retained, this item is considered as 'examined' for disposition purposes.

| ITEM | VOUCHER | DATE REC'D | DESCRIPTION |
|------|------------|------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 1000100100 | 4/15/17 | shoes (not examined) |

- 7.3.8 Missing items listed on the voucher but not present upon opening the packaging for examination will be marked as (not submitted).

| ITEM | VOUCHER | DATE REC'D | DESCRIPTION |
|------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 10001001000 | 7/23/16 | shirt (not submitted) |

8 Disposition

- 8.1 This section describes what has happened to the exemplars, vouchered evidence, post-mortem samples, and samples removed from the evidence.
- 8.2 List which items have been retained by the laboratory (typically only sexual assault buccal specimens or post mortem samples).
- 8.3 Any consumed items/samples will be tagged with an "*" following the item description in the evidence received section. The report template statement will be used to reference the "*" in the disposition.
- 8.4 State when items have been transferred to the Evidence Unit, and include the report template statement in regards to the retention of DNA extracts, if applicable.

9 Signature block

- 9.1 Each report has the signature of the reporting analyst for the case.
- 9.2 Reports generated within the LIMS are electronically "signed" after validating the user's credentials.

Controlled versions of Department of Forensic Biology Manuals only exist in the Forensic Biology Qualtrax software. All printed versions are non-controlled copies.

© NYC OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER

FORENSIC BIOLOGY EVIDENCE AND CASE MANAGEMENT MANUAL

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Reports | | |
| Status: Published | | Document ID: 989 |
| DATE EFFECTIVE 04/30/2019 | APPROVED BY Quality Assurance Manager | PAGE 10 OF 10 |

10 Comparison only reports

- 10.1 A “comparison only” report provides the results of a comparison in the absence of any additional DNA typing. For example, this could include the comparison of a previously typed exemplar from a suspect file to additional results in the evidence file or comparison of a previously typed exemplar to a second evidence case. Because no additional testing was performed on the suspect exemplar, an evidence received section and disposition section is not necessary in that additional suspect report. Disposition information is documented in previous reports and referred to in the “Additional Report” statement.

11 Verbal Results

- 11.1 Verbal results are not routinely released before the technical and administrative review of case records and distribution of the associated report.
- 11.2 Exceptions are made for a requested priority case where verbal results are requested:
- 11.2.1 Verbal results are to be communicated to the submitting agency by a Criminalist IV or Manager.
 - 11.2.2 Analytical results of a case in progress will only be released verbally to the submitting agency after review of the testing to date.
 - 11.2.3 Interpretation and/or comparison results will only be verbally released after a report has been written and the case records have been through the technical review process.