# Chapter 15 : Noise

# I. INTRODUCTION

This chapter assesses the potential for the Proposed Actions to result in significant noise impacts. Noise, in its simplest definition, is unwanted sound. While high noise levels may cause hearing loss, the noise levels associated with projects reviewed under City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) are generally below this hazardous range. However, noise levels that are not considered hazardous may cause stress-related illnesses, disrupt sleep, and interrupt activities requiring concentration. As described in Section 200 of Chapter 19 of the 2014 *CEQR Technical Manual*, the noise assessment must disclose the impacts of the Proposed Actions, and, where significant adverse noise impacts are anticipated, identify measures to avoid or mitigate potential impacts. Included is an assessment of the potential effects of the Proposed Project on sensitive noise receptors, and an assessment of the potential effects of noise levels on new sensitive uses introduced by the Proposed Project.

As described in Chapter 1, "Project Description," the Applicant is seeking a set of Proposed Actions in the form of discretionary approvals to include zoning map and text amendments, a large-scale general development (LSGD) special permit, a City Map Amendment to re-establish a portion of Beach 52nd Street south of Rockaway Beach Boulevard to reconnect with Rockaway Freeway, and public funding and/or financing from various City and New York State agencies and/or programs related to affordable housing development on the Project Site. The Project Site is situated in Queens Community District 14 (CD 14). The Proposed Actions would facilitate the Proposed Project to consist of an approximately 2,371,000 gross square feet (gsf) development on the Project Site, comprised of 11 buildings ranging in height between approximately 90 to 200 feet. The Proposed Project would introduce approximately 2,200 income-restricted dwelling units (DUs), of which 1,927 DUs would be income-restricted up to 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI), to include approximately 201 DUs set aside for Affordable Independent Residences for Seniors senior housing, with the remaining 273 DUs restricted to income levels not exceeding 130% of AMI. In addition to the residential DUs, the Proposed Project would include approximately 72,000 gsf of retail space, including a fitness center and a supermarket, approximately 77,000 gsf of community facility space, approximately 24,000 square feet (sf) of publicly-accessible open space, and approximately 973 accessory parking spaces.

# II. PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS

A detailed analysis of the potential noise impacts of the Proposed Project conducted between the DEIS and FEIS found that the Proposed Project would not result in any significant adverse noise impacts on any existing land use. In addition, as noted in the DEIS, new residential development associated with the Proposed Project would be subjected to noise levels that exceed an exterior L<sub>10</sub> of 70 dBA, which is the threshold noise level for a significant adverse noise impact. However, no significant adverse impacts would occur provided that the Proposed Project incorporates window/wall attenuation sufficient to ensure that interior noise levels are 45 dBA or less. Therefore, an (E) Designation, E-532, will be mapped on the Project Site. The (E) Designation will require alternate means of ventilation for all sites with an exterior noise level of 70 dBA or higher. With the (E) Designation mapped on the Project Site, no noise impacts would occur at buildings that would be built as part of the Proposed Project.

# III. METHODOLOGY

### Scope of Analysis

In accordance with the 2014 *CEQR Technical Manual*, the purpose of the noise analysis is to determine whether: 1) a proposed project would exceed noise impact thresholds of 3 to 5 dBA at nearby sensitive noise receptors, including residential, commercial, and institutional facilities (if applicable), and at open spaces, and 2) new sensitive uses introduced by a proposed project would be exposed to  $L_{10}$  noise levels (as defined below) of 70 dBA or more.

Significant sources of noise near the Project Site include traffic on Rockaway Beach Boulevard and Beach Channel Drive and the elevated rail over Rockaway Freeway. Other sources of noise that were evaluated include the playground from the Bay School (P.S. 105) about 350 feet northeast of the Project Site and an electrical substation at the corner of Rockaway Beach Boulevard and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street. No new schools or playgrounds are proposed for the Project Site. Based on this information, the scope of work included:

- Measurement of traffic noise levels and vehicular mix at intersections subject to project-generated traffic;
- estimation of future noise levels in the future without the Proposed Project (future No-Action noise levels);
- assessment of whether the relative increase in future traffic noise levels would exceed the impact thresholds defined below;
- assessment of whether new sensitive receptors introduced by the Proposed Project would be subject to noise levels that exceed impact thresholds defined below; and
- identification of measures to avoid or mitigate potential impacts

### Analysis Year

The assessment of noise impacts was completed for the year 2034, the year at which the Proposed Project is anticipated to be complete (the "analysis year"). No interim years were analyzed since the completion year would constitute a worst-case period for project-generated traffic and noise conditions.

### **Noise Fundamentals**

### Noise Descriptors

Noise is measured in sound pressure level (SPL), which is converted to a decibel scale. The decibel is a relative measure of the sound level pressure with respect to a standardized reference quantity. Decibels on the A-weighted scale are termed "dBA." The A-weighted scale is used for evaluating the effects of noise in the environment because it most closely approximates the response of the human ear. On this scale, the threshold of discomfort is 120 dBA, and the threshold of pain is about 140 dBA. **Table 15-1: Sound Pressure Level and Loudness of Typical Noises in Indoor and Outdoor Environments** shows the range of noise levels for a variety of indoor and outdoor sources. Because the scale is logarithmic, a relative increase of 10 decibels represents a sound pressure level that is 10 times higher. However, humans don't perceive a 10 dBA increase as 10 times louder, they perceive it as twice as loud. The following are typical human responses to relative changes in noise level:

- 3 dBA change is the threshold of change detectable by the human ear,
- 5 dBA change is readily noticeable, and
- 10 dBA increase is perceived as a doubling of noise level.

Peninsula Hospital Site Redevelopment CEQR No: 18DCP124Q

The sound pressure level that humans experience typically varies from moment to moment. Therefore, a variety of descriptors are used to evaluate environmental noise levels over time. Some typical descriptors are defined below:

- L<sub>eq</sub> is the continuous equivalent sound level. The sound energy from the fluctuating sound pressure levels is averaged over time to create a single number to describe the mean energy or intensity level. High noise levels during a monitoring period will have greater effect on the L<sub>eq</sub> than low noise levels. The L<sub>eq</sub> has an advantage over other descriptors because L<sub>eq</sub> values from different noise sources can be added and subtracted to determine cumulative noise levels.
- L<sub>max</sub> is the highest SPL measured during a given period of time. It is useful in evaluating L<sub>eq</sub>s for time periods that have an especially wide range of noise levels.
- L<sub>10</sub> is the SPL exceeded 10% of the time. Similar descriptors are the L<sub>01</sub>, L<sub>50</sub>, and L<sub>90</sub>.
- L<sub>dn</sub> is the day-night equivalent sound level. It is similar to a 24-hour L<sub>eq</sub>, but with 10 dBA added to SPL measurements between 10 pm and 7 am to reflect the greater intrusiveness of noise experienced during these hours. L<sub>dn</sub> is also termed DNL.

#### Noise Attenuation

Noise levels from a given source reduce with distance. Noise from a "line" source (e.g., roadways) typically attenuates at the rate of 3 dBA per distance doubling, based on a reference distance of 50 feet, for noise traveling through air or over a hard surface. This means that a noise level of (e.g.) 70 dBA at a point 50 feet from the source would attenuate to 67 dBA 100 feet from the source and 64 dBA 200 feet from the source. Noise traveling over a soft surface may attenuate at 4.5 dBA per distance doubling. Noise from a stationary source attenuates at a rate of 6 dBA when traveling through air or over a hard surface.

### Passenger Car Equivalent Values

Vehicular volumes can be converted into Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) values, where one medium-duty truck (with a gross weight between 9,900 and 26,400 pounds) would generate the noise equivalent of 13 cars, one bus (capable of carrying more than nine passengers) would generate the noise equivalent of 18 cars, and one heavy-duty truck (having a gross weight of more than 26,400 pounds) would to generate the noise equivalent of 47 cars, as summarized below from the 2014 *CEQR Technical Manual*.

- autos and light trucks = 1 passenger car,
- medium trucks = 13 passenger cars,
- heavy trucks = 47 passenger cars, and
- buses = 18 passenger cars.

Noise	Subjective	Typical Source	<del>}</del> S	Relative Loudness
Level (dBA)	Impression	Outdoor	Indoor	(Human Response)
120-130	Uncomfortably Loud	Air raid siren at 50 feet (threshold of pain)	Oxygen torch	32 times as loud
110-120	Uncomfortably Loud	Turbo-fan aircraft at take-off power at 200 feet	Riveting machine Rock band	16 times as loud
100-110	Uncomfortably Loud	Jackhammer at 3 feet		8 times as loud
90-100	Very Loud	Gas lawn mower at 3 feet Subway train at 30 feet Train whistle at crossing Wood chipper shredding trees Chain saw cutting trees at 10 feet	Newspaper press	4 times as loud
80-90	Very Loud	Passing freight train at 30 feet Steamroller at 30 feet Leaf blower at 5 feet Power lawn mower at 5 feet	Food blender Milling machine Garbage disposal Crowd noise at sports event	2 times as loud
70-80	Moderately Loud	NJ Turnpike at 50 feet Truck idling at 30 feet Traffic in downtown urban area	Loud stereo Vacuum cleaner Food blender	Reference loudness (70 dBA)
60-70	Moderately Loud	Residential air conditioner at 100 feet Gas lawn mower at 100 feet Waves breaking on beach at 65 feet	Cash register Dishwasher Theater lobby Normal speech at 3 feet	2 as loud
50-60	Quiet	Large transformers at 100 feet Traffic in suburban area	Living room with TV on Classroom Business office Dehumidifier Normal speech at 10 feet	1/4 as loud
40-50	Quiet	Bird calls, Trees rustling, Crickets, Water flowing in brook	Folding clothes Using computer	1/8 as loud
30-40	Very quiet		Walking on carpet Clock ticking in adjacent room	1/16 as loud
20-30	Very quiet		Bedroom at night	1/32 as loud
10-20	Extremely quiet		Broadcast and recording studio	
0-10	Threshold of			

# Table 15-1: Sound Pressure Level and Loudness of Typical Noises in Indoor and Outdoor Environments

Sources: Noise Assessment Guidelines Technical Background, by Theodore J. Schultz, Bolt Beranek and Newman, Inc., prepared for the US Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Research and Technology, Washington, D.C., undated; Sandstone Environmental Associates, Inc.; Highway Noise Fundamentals, prepared by the Federal Highway Administration, US Department of Transportation, September 1980; Handbook of Environmental Acoustics, by James P. Cowan, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1994.

Peninsula Hospital Site Redevelopment CEQR No: 18DCP124Q

Thus, PCEs are the numbers of autos that would generate the same noise level as the observed vehicular mix of autos, medium trucks, and heavy trucks. PCEs are useful for comparing the effects of traffic noise on different roadways or for different future scenarios.

Where traffic volumes are projected to change, proportional modeling techniques, as allowed in the 2014 *CEQR Technical Manual*, was used to project incremental changes in traffic noise levels. This technique uses the relative changes in traffic volumes to project changes between No-Action and With-Action noise levels. The change in future noise levels is calculated using the following proportionality equation:

FNL=ENL + 10 × log<sub>10</sub> (FPCE/EPCE)

where:

FNL= Future Noise Level ENL= Existing Noise Level FPCE= Future PCEs EPCE= Existing PCEs

Because sound levels use a logarithmic scale, this model proportions logarithmically with traffic change ratios. For example, if the existing traffic volume on a street is 100 PCEs, and if the future traffic volume were increased by 50 PCEs to a total of 150 PCEs, the noise level would increase by 1.8 dBA, while if the future traffic were increased by 100 PCEs, (i.e., doubled to a total of 200 PCEs), the noise level would increase by 3.0 dBA.

### Window/Wall Attenuation Ratings

The attenuation of noise for a composite structure is a function of the attenuation provided by each of its component parts and how much of the area is composed of each part. Generally, a building façade is composed of the wall, glazing, and any vents or louvers for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems in various ratios of area. To avoid significant adverse noise impacts, all new facades would need to provide composite Outdoor-Indoor Transmission Class (OITC) ratings greater than or equal to the attenuation requirements described in the Section V, Detailed Assessment. The OITC classification is defined by the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM E1332-90) and provides a single-number rating that is used for designing a building façade including walls, doors, glazing, and combinations thereof. The OITC rating is designed to evaluate building elements by their ability to reduce the overall loudness of ground and air transportation. It is designed to evaluate building elements by their ability to reduce the overall loudness to block airborne sound.

### TNM (Traffic Noise Model)

Traffic noise levels for the two new roads within the site, Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and Peninsula Way, were estimated using the Federal Highway Administration's Traffic Noise Model (TNM), Version 2.5. TNM calculates noise levels based on traffic volume, vehicular mix, vehicular speed, roadway and receptor elevations, rows of buildings, and terrain features.

The purpose of the TNM modeling was to identify noise levels for new buildings on the Project Site and determine their required window/wall noise attenuation for an (E) Designation. Receptor points were placed along Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and Peninsula Way at 20-foot intervals. Receptor points were placed at the walls of the buildings at a height of 1.8 meters (6 feet).

The TNM model was run using the volumes and vehicular mix provided by the traffic analysis for existing and With-Action conditions. Modeling also included three adjacent roadways: Beach Channel Drive, Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, and Rockaway Beach Boulevard. The output for existing conditions was compared with existing noise levels in order to determine adjustment factors to correct the modeled values to use as baseline conditions. These factors were then applied to the modeled values for the With-Action condition. Speeds were assumed to be 25 mph. This is the maximum posted speed for local roads in NYC.

# **Noise Standards and Guidelines**

## **CEQR** Guidelines

In 1983, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) adopted the City Environmental Protection Order - CEQR noise standards for exterior noise levels. These standards are used to classify noise exposure into four categories based on L<sub>10</sub> exposure levels. Acceptable, Marginally Acceptable, Marginally Unacceptable, and Clearly Unacceptable General Exposure Levels for a range of receptor types are summarized in **Table 15-2: CEQR Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review**.

Receptor Type	Time Period	Acceptable General External Exposure	Airport <sup>3</sup> Exposure	Marginally Acceptable General External Exposure	Airport <sup>3</sup> Exposure	Marginally Unacceptable General External Exposure	Airport <sup>3</sup> Exposure	Clearly Unacceptable General External Exposure	Airport <sup>3</sup> Exnosure
1.Outdoor area requiring serenity and quiet <sup>2</sup>		L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 55 dBA							
2. Hospital, Nursing Home		L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 55 dBA		55 < L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 65 dBA		65 < L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 80 dBA		L <sub>10</sub> > 80 dBA	
3. Residence,	7 am to 10 pm	L <sub>10</sub> <u>≤</u> 65dBA		65 < L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 70 dBA		70 < L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 80 dBA		L <sub>10</sub> > 80 dBA	
motel	10 pm to 7 am	L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 55dBA		55 < L <sub>10</sub> <u>≤</u> 70dBA		70 < L <sub>10</sub> <u>&lt;</u> 80 dBA		L <sub>10</sub> > 80 dBA	
4. School, museum, library, court, house of worship, transient hotel or motel, public meeting room, auditorium, out-patient public health facility		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)	L <sub>dn</sub> <u>≤</u> 60 dBA	Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)	L <sub>dn</sub> <u>≤</u> 60 dBA	Same as Residential Day (7 AM- 10 PM)	L <sub>dn</sub> <u>≤</u> 60 dBA	Same as Residential Day (7 AM –10 PM)	L <sub>dn</sub> ≤ 75 dBA
5. Commercial or office		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM – 10 PM)		Same as Residential Day (7 AM-10 PM)	
6. Industrial, public areas only <sup>4</sup>	Note 4	Note 4		Note 4		Note 4		Note 4	

#### Table 15-2: CEQR Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review<sup>1</sup>

Source: DEP (adopted policy 1983).

Notes:

(i) In addition, any new activity shall not increase the ambient noise level by 3 dBA or more;

<sup>1</sup> Measurements and projections of noise exposures are to be made at appropriate heights above site boundaries as given by American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Standards; all values are for the worst hour in the time period.

<sup>2</sup> Tracts of land where serenity and quiet are extraordinarily important and serve an important public need and where the preservation of these qualities is essential for the area to serve its intended purpose. Such areas could include amphitheaters, particular parks or portions of parks or open spaces dedicated or recognized by appropriate local officials for activities requiring special qualities of serenity and quiet. Examples are grounds for ambulatory hospital patients and patients and residents of sanitariums and senior homes.

<sup>3</sup> One may use the FAA-approved L<sub>dn</sub> contours supplied by the Port Authority, or the noise contours may be computed from the federally approved Integrated Noise Model (INM) Computer Model using flight data supplied by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey.

<sup>4</sup> External Noise Exposure standards for industrial areas of sounds produced by industrial operations other than operating motor vehicles or other transportation facilities are spelled out in the NYC Zoning Resolution, Sections 42-20 and 42-21. The referenced standards apply to M1, M2, and M3 manufacturing districts and to adjoining residence districts (performance standards are octave band standards).

**Table 15-3: Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels** shows the required attenuation for sensitive uses within the last three categories shown in **Table 15-2**. For example, an  $L_{10}$  may approach 80 dBA provided that buildings are constructed of materials that reduce exterior to interior noise levels by at least 35 dBA to 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses.

Noise Level with Proposed Project	Ν	larginally U	Clearly Unacceptable		
	70 <l<sub>10&lt;73</l<sub>	73 <l<sub>10&lt;76</l<sub>	76 <l<sub>10&lt;78</l<sub>	78 <l<sub>10&lt;80</l<sub>	80 <l<sub>10</l<sub>
Attenuation <sup>A</sup>	(I) 28 dB(A)	(II) 31 dB(A)	(III) 33 dB(A)	(IV) 35 dB(A)	36 + (L <sub>10</sub> - 80) <sup>B</sup> dB(A)

#### Table 15-3: Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels

*Note:* <sup>A</sup> The above composite window-wall attenuation values are for residential dwellings. Commercial office spaces and meeting rooms would be 5 dB(A) less in each category. All the above categories require a closed-window situation and hence an alternate means of ventilation.

<sup>B</sup> Required attenuation values increase by 1 dB(A) increments for  $L_{10}$  values greater than 80 dBA.

Source: NYC DEP 2014 CEQR Technical Manual, Table 19-3.

### Evaluation Criteria

The selection of incremental values and absolute noise levels should be responsive to the nuisance levels of noise and critical time periods when nuisance levels are most acute. During daytime hours (between 7 am and 10 pm), nuisance levels for noise are generally considered to be more than 45 dBA indoors and 70 to 75 dBA outdoors. Indoor activities are subject to task interference above this level, and 70 to 75 dBA is the level at which speech interference occurs outdoors. Nighttime (between 10 pm and 7 am) is a particularly critical time period relative to potential nuisance values for noise level increases. Typical construction techniques used in the past (including typical single-glazed windows) provide a minimum of approximately 25 dBA of noise attenuation from outdoor to indoor areas.

Consequentially, the 2014 CEQR Technical Manual identifies the following relative noise level increases to determine impacts from a proposed action:

- An increase of five dBA or more in With-Action L<sub>eq(1)</sub> noise levels at sensitive receptors (including residences, play areas, parks, schools, libraries, and houses of worship) over those calculated for the No-Action condition if the No-Action levels are less than 60 dBA L<sub>eq(1)</sub> and the analysis period is not a nighttime period.
- An increase of four dBA or more in With-Action L<sub>eq(1)</sub> noise levels at sensitive receptors over those calculated for the No-Action condition if the No-Action levels are 61 dBA L<sub>eq(1)</sub> and the analysis period is not a nighttime period.
- An increase of three dBA or more in With-Action L<sub>eq(1)</sub> noise levels at sensitive receptors over those calculated for the No-Action condition if the No-Action levels are greater than 62 dBA L<sub>eq(1)</sub> and the analysis period is not a nighttime period.
- An increase of three dBA or more in With-Action L<sub>eq(1)</sub> noise levels at sensitive receptors over those calculated for the No-Action condition if the analysis period is a nighttime period.

The 2014 CEQR Technical Manual identifies the Noise Exposure Guidelines, recommended by DEP and summarized in **Table 15-2**, as the impact thresholds to assess the impact of noise levels on sensitive receptors introduced by a proposed project. If a project is within an area where the project noise levels exceed the marginally acceptable limit shown in the Noise Exposure Guidelines (as measured at the

Peninsula Hospital Site Redevelopment CEQR No: 18DCP124Q

proposed building line or property line), a significant impact would occur. For this project, a potential impact would occur if the project would place new sensitive receptors in an area with an L<sub>10</sub> noise level of 70 dBA or greater.

If a significant impact is projected, the project would be subject to mitigation measures to reduce the interior noise levels by 25 dBA or more below the maximum marginally acceptable levels for external exposure shown in **Table 15-2**.

# IV. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

As indicated in the 2014 CEQR Technical Manual, a detailed assessment of noise impacts is required if: 1) the increased traffic volumes at intersections in the study area had the potential to cause an impact, or 2) the proposed project would place sensitive receptors in an area with projected noise levels with an  $L_{10}$  of 70 dBA or more.

### Noise Monitoring

Noise monitoring was carried out in 2016 and 2018 at the following nine representative locations shown in **Figure 15-1: 2016 and 2018 Noise Monitoring Locations**:

- 1. Beach Channel Drive between Beach 50<sup>th</sup> and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Streets (20 minutes)
- 2. Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street between Beach Channel Drive and Rockaway Beach Boulevard (1 hour)
- 3. Rockaway Boulevard between Beach 50<sup>th</sup> and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Streets (1 hour)
- 4. Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street at southern terminus (1 hour)
- 5. Beach 50<sup>th</sup> Street at the corner of Rockaway Beach Boulevard adjacent to the development and also adjacent to the Metropolitan Transit Authority Far Rockaway and JFK Bus Depots (1 hour)
- 6. Elevated rail platform at Beach 59<sup>th</sup> Street and Rockaway Freeway (1 hour). This rail station is the one closest to the Project Site
- 7. Rockaway Beach Boulevard and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street adjacent to electric substation (1 hour)
- 8. Beach 50<sup>th</sup> Street between Beach Channel Drive and Rockaway Beach Boulevard (1 hour)
- 9. Center of Project Site

Sites 1 through 4 were monitored for the weekday peak AM, Midday, and PM periods as well as the Saturday Midday period. Sites 5 and 7, which target the bus depots and electrical substation, were monitored only during weekday peaks. Site 6 represents noise levels at upper floors for buildings that would face the elevated rail along Rockaway Freeway. Readings at Site 7, which is located near an existing electric substation, were done during an off-peak period when background traffic would be low. At this site, field personnel paused the noise monitors during events that would significantly exceed the noise level from the substation. Sites 8 and 9 were included as additional noise monitoring sites between the DEIS and FEIS to refine the analysis of the Weekday AM, Midday, and PM peak periods.

Noise levels at locations with direct lines of sight to the elevated tracks over Rockaway Freeway were monitored for one-hour periods as per City's guidance. All other noise monitoring periods extended for 20 minutes.. Rail operations, aircraft flyovers, and traffic classification counts were carried out concurrently with the noise monitoring.



Source: GoogleEarth Pro 2017

**EDGEMERE, QUEENS** 



Project Site



Noise Monitoring Locations

# 2016 AND 2018 NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS

Figure 15-1

**Table 15-4: Observed Noise Levels (dBA)** summarizes the results of the noise monitoring program. The maximum L<sub>10</sub> noise level, which occurred during the peak AM period, was 74.0 dBA at Beach 51<sup>st</sup> Street and Rockaway Freeway. The L<sub>eq</sub> noise levels for the rail site were consistently higher than the L<sub>10</sub> noise levels due to the effects of train horns as the cars approached the platform. The logarithmic computations used to calculate the L<sub>eq</sub> place a greater weight on high noise levels than low noise levels.

Because the  $L_{10}$  noise level exceeded 70 dBA at one or more sites adjacent to the proposed buildings, a more detailed analysis of future noise levels was carried out as presented in Section V, Detailed Assessment.

ID	Location	Period	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	$L_{min}$	L <sub>max</sub>	L <sub>01</sub>	L50	L <sub>90</sub>
		AM	68.5	72.6	52.9	81.9	77.7	63.7	55.9
1	Beach Channel Drive:	MD	69.1	72.2	52.2	85.5	79.6	65.2	57.1
	and Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Sts.	PM	68.7	72.3	51.6	81.3	77.7	65.7	54.6
		SAT	64.5	68.2	50.9	79.0	75.1	58.9	52.4
	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street	AM	61.0	63.7	52.6	82.8	70.6	57.3	53.9
2	between Beach Channel Drive and	MD	59.6	60.7	52.5	83.3	69.2	56.4	53.6
2 Cha Ro	Rockaway Beach	PM	59.2	60.6	51.9	81.4	70.2	56.6	54.8
	Blvd.	SAT	58.8	60.8	52.0	80.6	69.8	54.6	53.3
	Deckewey Deceb	AM	69.0	72.2	52.2	90.3	79.1	63.3	55.6
2	Blvd. between Beach	MD	65.4	68.9	49.6	86.1	76.4	58.1	52.1
3	52 <sup>nd</sup> and Beach 51 <sup>st</sup>	PM	72.3	69.6	48.2	99.4	79.9	60.5	52.4
	013.	SAT	66.0	69.8	50.7	85.1	76.1	60.6	53.3
		AM	71.0	74.0	52.9	87.9	80.1	67.9	62.2
4	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> St. at	MD	68.9	71.9	51.4	87.6	79.1	64.8	58.1
4	Rockaway Freeway	PM	70.1	73.7	51.3	86.3	79.9	66.3	56.1
		SAT	69.0	73.1	53.8	83.3	78.9	64.3	56.8
	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St. at	AM	67.1	70.6	48.7	80.9	75.8	64.8	54.4
5	Rockaway Beach	MD	53.5	56.7	31.6	68.4	63.5	50.7	39.2
	Biva.	PM	67.6	71.0	44.7	84.4	76.9	64.2	53.6
		AM	71.4	66.3	54.7	90.3	86.3	60.5	57.6
•	Rail Noise: 60 <sup>th</sup> St.	MD	72.2	67.6	53.3	93.4	86.8	59.0	55.9
6	WB Platform	PM	74.1	71.7	53.8	93.8	88.2	61.5	57.3
		Off-Peak PM	57.9	60.0	52.7	68.5	67.0	56.1	54.5
7	Electrical Substation: Rockaway Beach Blvd. at Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> St.	Off-Peak AM	55.5	57.0	52.5	60.4	59.2	55.1	53.6
-	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St.	AM	60.1	62.9	51.8	79.5	69.5	56.6	54.3
8	between Rockaway	MD PM	60.3 59.9	63.0 62.0	51.9 51.3	76.3 48.8	69.3 69.5	57.2 54.8	54.2 51.5

Table 15-4: Observed Noise Levels (dB	A)
---------------------------------------	----

	Beach Boulevard and Beach Channel Drive								
	Center of Project Site	AM	58.8	61.9	49.4	67.7	65.6	57.3	54.6
9		MD	59.6	62.5	52.4	76.3	68.6	56.6	54.6
		PM	58.3	62.8	48.5	69.7	66.8	54.0	50.7

# **Traffic Noise**

A screening analysis was completed to determine whether the Proposed Project would generate sufficient vehicle trips to cause a significant noise impact at nearby sensitive land uses. The screening threshold varies between a three and five dBA increase depending on existing noise levels and time of day. To achieve a three dBA increase in traffic noise, With-Action traffic volumes or PCEs would have to double relative to No-Action traffic volumes or PCEs.

**Table 15-5: 2034 Traffic Volumes** shows the future volumes projected for the six traffic noise sites. The Proposed Project would generate maximum increases in traffic volume on Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> and Beach 50<sup>th</sup> Streets that would exceed 100%. Consequentially, a more detailed analysis that includes calculation of PCEs was carried out and is presented in Section V, Detailed Assessment.

ID	Intersection	Time Period	No-Action	With- Action	Increase	% Increase
		AM	904	1,004	100	11.0%
4	Beach Channel Drive: between Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> and Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Sts.	MD	865	946	81	9.4%
1		PM	1,040	1,156	115	11.1%
		SAT	784	896	112	14.3%
		AM	130	367	237	181.8%
Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street between	MD	131	238	107	81.5%
2	Rockaway Beach Blvd.	PM	151	282	132	87.2%
		SAT	144	312	168	116.6%
		AM	555	685	130	23.4%
2	Rockaway Beach Blvd. between Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> and Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Sts.	MD	413	485	72	17.4%
3		PM	728	814	85	11.7%
		SAT	516	602	86	16.6%
		AM	595	668	72	12.2%
4	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> St. at Rockaway	MD	296	363	67	22.5%
4	Freeway	PM	554	616	63	11.3%
		SAT	402	463	61	15.2%
		AM	602	819	217	36.0%
5	Bus Depot: Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St. at Rockaway Beach Blvd	MD	446	667	221	49.6%
	at Rookaway Boach Biva.	PM	765	999	234	30.6%
	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St. between	AM	<u>48</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>112</u>	232.9
<u>8</u>	Rockaway Beach Blvd. and	MD	<u>45</u>	<u>167</u>	<u>122</u>	269.8
	Beach Channel Drive	<u>PM</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>159</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>308.1</u>

Table 15-5: 2034 Traffic Volumes

*Note:* Numbers in bold type exceed 100%.

# V. DETAILED ASSESSMENT

# **Existing Conditions**

The area near the Project Site contains a mix of land uses in low- to mid-rise buildings. The New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA)'s Ocean Front Apartments (Bayside) are to the north and west of the Project Site and range between seven and nine stories in height. Several commercial properties are also located west of the Project Site. Further north of the Project Site is the Rockaway Community Park. The John F. Kennedy (JFK) Airport is located within two miles north of the Project Site. Across the street and south of the Project Site are three industrial properties, two of which are listed as factory uses by the New York City Department of Finance and one of which is listed as a warehouse use. Further south of the Project Site is the Rockaway Beach and Boardwalk and the Rockaway Freeway. Rockaway Freeway is elevated and carries both motor vehicles and rail lines. To the east of the Project Site are vacant, commercial, and institutional properties. P.S. 105 is approximately 220 feet east of the Project Site.

The observed noise levels and traffic volumes were adjusted to conform to observed traffic volumes and vehicular classifications for existing conditions that were provided by the traffic study. The adjustments were made using the proportionality equation described in Section III, Methodology. **Table 15-6: Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Noise Levels, Existing Conditions** shows the one-hour traffic volumes and noise PCEs for existing conditions.

ID	Intersection	Peak Period	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	Auto s	Mediu m Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Buses	Total	PCEs
	Deech Channel	AM	70.0	74.1	561	66	18	44	689	3,063
4	Drive between	MD	69.7	72.8	591	52	14	32	689	2,492
	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> and	PM	68.7	72.3	696	23	6	35	759	1,881
	Beach 51 <sup>th</sup> Sis.	SAT	66.3	70.0	574	11	2	25	612	1,278
	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street	AM	63.4	66.1	15	2	1	0	17	64
_	between Beach	MD	61.4	62.5	22	2	0	1	25	82
2	and Rockaway	PM	62.3	63.7	23	1	0	1	25	63
	Beach Blvd.	SAT	53.2	55.2	13	0	0	0	13	14
	Da alvarra Da a alv	AM	71.2	74.4	286	13	3	40	342	1,327
2	Blvd. between	MD	65.3	68.8	217	5	1	15	239	615
3	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> and	PM	73.6	70.9	349	10	3	30	392	1,150
	Beach 51 <sup>m</sup> Sis.	SAT	66.9	70.7	310	4	1	14	329	650
		AM	71.5	74.5	504	6	1	8	519	776
	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> St. at Rockaway Freeway	MD	67.8	70.8	270	4	1	2	277	404
4		PM	69.8	73.4	451	7	1	9	468	762
		SAT	69.2	73.3	367	4	1	1	373	469
	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St. at	AM	65.8	69.3	317	18	5	23	362	1,179
5	Rockaway Beach	MD	50.3	53.5	237	9	2	8	255	585
	Blvd.	PM	67.8	71.2	370	4	1	23	397	859
		AM	71.4	66.3						
	Rail Noise: 60 <sup>th</sup>	MD	72.2	67.6						
6	St. WB Platform	PM	74.1	71.7						
		Off-Peak PM	57.9	60.0						
7	Electrical Substation- Rockaway Beach Blvd and Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street.	Off-Peak AM	55.5	57.0						
	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St.	AM	59.2	62.0	13	5	1	0	19	140
8	<u>between</u> Rockaway Beach	MD	53.6	56.3	26	2	1	0	29	87
	Blvd. and Beach Channel Drive	PM	51.0	53.1	18	0	0	0	18	19

# Table 15-6: Peak Hour Traffic Volumes and Noise Levels, Existing Conditions

*Note:* The noise levels for Sites 6 and 7 are not associated with traffic volumes and were not projected into the future because they would remain the same for future years.

# Future Noise Levels Without the Proposed Actions (No-Action Condition)

In the future absent the Proposed Actions (the "No-Action" condition), an as-of-right residential development and supporting retail space would be developed on the Project Site that would be comprised of 12 buildings, including approximately 482,523 gsf of residential space (providing 568 DUs); 21,659 gsf of retail space; 800 gsf of community facility (medical) space; and 557 accessory parking spaces comprised of 483 residential spaces, 72 retail spaces, and two spaces for community facility medical office use. Of the 557 parking spaces, 457 would be provided on surface parking lots and 100 would be in an underground parking garage in the center of the northern portion of the Project Site. The No-Action condition would result in approximately 544,982 gsf of development on the Project Site.

**Table 15-7: Peak Hour Noise Levels, Future without Proposed Action** shows the future traffic volumes and noise levels for the 2034 analysis year. Ambient noise levels for the No-Action condition were based on changes in traffic volumes and vehicle types obtained from the traffic study. The results of the analysis indicated that noise level increases would range between 0.1 dBA and 10.2 dBA in the No-Action Condition compared to existing noise levels. The highest increments ranged between 6.3 dBA and 10.2 dBA and were due to new development in the Study Area, including the as-of-right development on the Project Site, all of which added substantial volumes of traffic to Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street.

		Time	E	ixisting	J		No-Act	ion		_
ID	Intersection	Period	L <sub>eq</sub>	L10	PCEs	PCEs	Noise Increase	L <sub>eq</sub>	L10	Category
	Deeeb Channel	AM	70.0	74.1	3,063	4,090	1.3	71.2	75.3	MUII
	Drive between	MD	69.7	72.8	2,492	3,326	1.3	71.0	74.1	MUII
	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> and	PM	68.7	72.3	1,881	2,660	1.5	70.2	73.8	MUII
	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Sts.	SAT	66.3	70.0	1,278	1,496	0.7	66.9	70.6	MUI
	Beach 53rd Street	AM	63.4	66.1	64	286	6.5	69.9	72.6	MUI
_	between Beach	MD	61.4	62.5	82	354	6.3	67.8	68.9	MA
2	and Rockaway	PM	62.3	63.7	63	332	7.2	69.5	70.9	MUI
	Beach Blvd.	SAT	53.2	55.2	14	144	10.2	63.4	65.4	MA
	Daalaanaa Daalah	AM	71.2	74.4	1,327	2,122	2.0	73.3	76.5	MUIII
	Blvd. between	MD	65.3	68.8	615	1042	2.3	67.6	71.1	MUI
3	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> and	PM	73.6	70.9	1,150	2013	2.4	76.1	73.4	MUIII
	Beach 51st Sts.	SAT	66.9	70.7	650	990	1.8	68.7	72.5	MUI
		AM	71.5	74.5	776	847	0.4	71.9	74.9	MUII
	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> St. at Rockaway Freeway	MD	67.8	70.8	404	409	0.1	67.9	70.9	MUI
4		PM	69.8	73.4	762	859	0.5	70.3	73.9	MUII
		SAT	69.2	73.3	469	476	0.1	69.3	73.4	MUII
	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St. at	AM	65.8	69.3	1,179	2,024	2.3	68.1	71.6	MUI
5	Rockaway Beach	MD	50.3	53.5	585	991	2.3	52.6	55.8	Acceptable
	Blvd.	PM	67.8	71.2	859	1,521	2.5	70.3	73.7	MUII
		AM	71.4	66.3				71.4	66.3	MUI
	Rail Noise: 60 <sup>th</sup>	MD	72.2	67.6				72.2	67.6	MUI
6	WB Platform	PM	74.1	71.7				74.1	71.7	MUII
		Off-Peak PM	57.9	60.0				57.9	60.0	Acceptable
7	Electrical Substation- Rockaway Beach Blvd and Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street.	Off-Peak AM	55.5	57.0				55.5	57.0	Acceptable
	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St.	AM	59.2	62.0	140	391	4.5	63.6	66.4	MA
8	<u>between</u> Rockaway Beach	MD	53.6	56.3	87	141	2.1	55.7	58.4	Acceptable
	Blvd. and Beach Channel Drive	PM	51.0	53.1	19	39	3.1	54.1	56.2	Acceptable

# Table 15-7: Peak Hour Noise Levels, Future without Proposed Action

Notes: MA = Marginally Acceptable; MU I = Marginally Unacceptable I; MU II = Marginally Unacceptable II.

# Future with the Proposed Actions (With-Action Condition)

In the future with the Proposed Actions (the "With-Action" condition), the Applicant plans to construct approximately 2,371,000 gsf of development, comprised of 11 buildings throughout six sub-sections of the Project Site (A, B, C, D, E, and F), with sub-sections A through E on the North Parcels of the Project Site and sub-section F on the South Parcel of the Project Site. The Proposed Project would include approximately 1,858,000 gsf of residential space, to generate approximately 2,200 residential DUs; 72,000 gsf of retail space, approximately 77,000 gsf of community facility (medical) space, approximately 364,000 sf of parking space, and approximately 24,000 sf of publicly-accessible open space. Retail and residential uses would be distributed across sub-sections A through D of the Project Site, while residential and community facility spaces programmed for medical uses are anticipated to be located on sub-section E, and sub-section F would be utilized entirely for residential use. Parking would be distributed across all six sub-sections of the Project Site. Building heights for the Proposed Project, shows the Proposed Project.

Approximately 973 accessory parking spaces would be provided as part of the Proposed Project, comprised of 744 accessory parking spaces for residential use, 154 accessory parking spaces for retail use, and 75 accessory parking spaces for community facility medical office use. Parking would include surface and covered parking facilities on sub-section A; surface, covered and uncovered parking facilities on sub-section B; and covered parking facilities throughout the remaining sub-sections C through F.

Any stationary noise sources associated with the proposed project (i.e., mechanical equipment) would be designed to meet all applicable noise regulations and would not generate any significant increase in ambient noise levels.

### Noise Generated at P.S. 105 Playground

A school playground for P.S. 105 is approximately 350 feet from the nearest new building that would be constructed as part of the Proposed Project on the Project Site. Based on formulas in the 2014 *CEQR Technical Manual*, L<sub>eq</sub> noise levels generated by activity on the playground at the nearest new building would be approximately 52 dBA, which is more than 10 dBA below monitored noise levels on Beach Channel Drive. Therefore, the playground is not considered to be a significant contributor to noise levels on the Project Site. P.S. 105 was included as a sensitive receptor in the assessment of noise impacts from the Proposed Project.

# Noise Generated by Electric Substation Near the Intersection of Rockaway Beach Boulevard and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street adjacent to electric substation

The noise from the electrical substation near the intersection of Rockaway Beach Boulevard and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street is substantially lower than noise levels generated by traffic on Rockaway Beach Boulevard and is not a significant contributor to noise levels.

### Noise Generated by Operation of JFK Airport

The Project Site is located 1.8 miles south of the nearest runway at JFK Airport. Review of the most recently available noise contours indicates that the Project Site is very close to the 65 DNL contour projected for 2020. Additional studies at JFK Airport are underway, but no additional information was available at the time of this FEIS. Air traffic noise was not removed from the noise measurements and, thus, recommended attenuation levels within the study area take the aircraft noise into account in order to determine acceptable interior noise levels.

# Traffic Noise for Existing Buildings

**Table 15-8: Peak Hour Noise Levels, Future with Proposed Action** shows the future traffic volumes and noise levels for the 2034 analysis year with the Proposed Action. The noise levels for the Future with the Proposed Action were based on the incremental changes in traffic volumes and vehicle type obtained from the traffic study. The results of the analysis indicated that noise level increases at Noise Monitoring Sites 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8 would range between 0.2 dBA and 6.1 dBA under the With-Action Condition compared to the No-Action Condition. The specific buildings affected on Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street are listed below.

- 3-09 Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street (Block 15890, Lot 97). This is a four-story residential building constructed in 2004 and it has 16 residential units. The northern, eastern, and southern facades would be exposed to noise from Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, but the exterior walls are primarily masonry, which has a high transmission loss for attenuating exterior to interior noise.
- 2. 334 Beach 54<sup>th</sup> Street (aka 329 Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street) (Block 14890, Lot 30). This is a four-story residential building with a brick exterior constructed in 2004, and it has 32 residential units.
- 3. 3-57 Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street (Block 15890, Lot 42). This is the six-story Lawrence Nursing Home, which has a brick exterior, and was constructed in 1973. The eastern façade facing Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street has no windows, but the northern and southern sides of the building are exposed to noise from the street.
- 4. 3-65 Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street (Block 15890, Lot 69). This site is currently vacant, but it will be combined with Lots 54, 55, 62, 64, and 66 for the future construction of the Ocean Bay Retail Center. Only the western and southern sides of the site face Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street; the northern side fronts on Beach Channel Drive. NYCHA acquired this property in 2003 and plans to sell it to a developer for the purpose of constructing a community center and neighborhood retail space, including a grocery store, which, as a commercial property, would have a desirable interior noise level of 50 dBA. As new construction, it would likely have AMV, and the windows would be selected to provide mitigation for the noise levels along the site's Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street frontage, which would have an exterior L<sub>10</sub> of 72.6 dBA under the No-Action condition and 77.7 dBA under With-Action conditions. It is assumed that the windows for the new development would be double-glazed for energy efficiency and would have an OITC of at least 33 dBA. Thus, the resulting L<sub>10</sub> interior level would be approximately 45 dBA and no impact would occur from the Proposed Project.
- 5. 320 Beach 54<sup>th</sup> Street (Block 15890, Lot 18). This is a one-story library with a brick exterior and a direct line of sight to traffic on Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street.



Source: Aufgang Architects Note: For illustrative purposes only

# **EDGEMERE, QUEENS**

# AXONOMETRIC VIEW OF PROPOSED PROJECT

Figure 15-2 Peninsula Hospital Site Redevelopment

				No-Acti	on		Actio	n		
ID	Intersection	Time Period	L <sub>eq</sub>	L10	PCEs	PCEs	Noise Increa se	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	Category
		AM	71.2	75.3	4,090	4,603	0.6	71.8	75.9	MUII
	Beach Channel Drive:	MD	71.0	74.1	3,326	3,545	0.3	71.3	74.4	MUII
1	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Sts.	PM	70.2	73.8	2,660	2,775	0.2	70.4	74.0	MUII
		SAT	66.9	70.6	1,496	1,608	0.3	67.3	71.0	MUI
		AM	69.9	72.6	286	937	5.1	75.0	77.7	MUIII
	between Beach	MD	67.8	68.9	354	600	2.3	70.1	71.2	MUI
2	Channel Drive and	PM	69.5	70.9	332	463	1.4	70.9	72.3	MUI
	Rockaway Beach Blvd.	SAT	63.4	65.4	144	312	3.4	66.7	68.7	MA
		AM	73.3	76.5	2,122	2,252	0.3	73.5	76.7	MUIII
	Rockaway Beach Blvd.	MD	67.6	71.1	1042	1,114	0.3	67.9	71.4	MUII
3	3 between Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> and Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Sts.	PM	76.1	73.4	2013	2,098	0.2	76.3	73.6	MUIII
		SAT	68.7	72.5	990	1,076	0.4	69.1	72.9	MUI
		AM	71.9	74.9	847	920	0.4	72.2	75.2	MUII
	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> St. at Rockaway Freeway	MD	67.9	70.9	409	476	0.7	68.5	71.5	MUI
4		PM	70.3	73.9	859	922	0.3	70.6	74.2	MUII
		SAT	69.3	73.4	476	537	0.5	69.8	73.9	MUII
		AM	68.1	71.6	2,024	2,241	0.4	68.5	72.0	MUI
5	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St. at Rockaway Beach Blvd	MD	52.6	55.8	991	1,212	0.9	53.5	56.7	Acceptable
	riconanay Boach Bira.	PM	70.3	73.7	1,521	1,755	0.6	70.9	74.3	MUII
		AM	71.4	66.3				71.4	66.3	MUI
	Rail Noise: 60 <sup>th</sup> W/B	MD	72.2	67.6				72.2	67.6	MUI
6	Platform	PM	74.1	71.7				74.1	71.7	MUII
		Off-Peak PM	57.9	60.0				57.9	60.0	Acceptable
7	Electrical Substation- Rockaway Beach Blvd and Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street.	Off-Peak AM	55.5	57.0				55.5	57.0	Acceptable
	Beach 50 <sup>th</sup> St. between	AM	63.6	66.4	391	504	1.1	64.7	67.5	Acceptable
8	and Beach Channel Drive	PM	55.7 54.1	58.4 56.2	141 39	264 159	2.7 6.1	58.4 60.2	61.1 62.3	Acceptable

# Table 15-8: Peak Hour Exterior Noise Levels, Future with Proposed Action

Notes: MA = Marginally Acceptable; MU I = Marginally Unacceptable I; MU II = Marginally Unacceptable II

Noise levels shown in Table 15-8 over-predict the noise levels at the five buildings of interest. They are not as high at noise levels at Noise Monitoring Site 2 because they are further away from the traffic noise. During field work, the noise monitor was placed at mid-sidewalk and approximately fifteen feet from the traffic. Table 15-9 shows the distances between the buildings and the noise monitoring site. With the exception of the Ocean Bay Retail Center, they are significantly further from the traffic than the noise monitor. The distance adjustment is based on an attenuation of 3 dBA per distance doubling for noise as a line source traveling over a hard site.

Distance (feet)										
Building	Building from Mid-Sidewalk	Noise Monitor	Building from Traffic	Adjustment (dBA)						
Lawrence Nursing Care*	43.5	15.0	58.5	5.9						
334 Beach 54th Street	34.0	15.0	49.0	5.1						
3-09 Beach 53rd Street	15.5	15.0	30.5	3.1						
Ocean Bay Retail Center	4.5	15.0	19.5	1.1						
Library	110.0	15.0	125.0	9.2						

Table 15-9: Distance between Noise Monitor 2 and Buildings Facing Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street

\*No windows on east façade. Distance is to nearest window on southern or northern façade.

**Table 15-10 Noise Levels at Noise Individual Buildings** shows the adjusted  $L_{eq}$  and  $L_{10}$  noise levels at the five buildings when distance attenuation is taken into account. The  $L_{10}$  noise level for the library is below 70 dBA. The residential buildings have  $L_{10}$  noise levels that are below 76 dBA, which would place them in the MUII CEQR category instead of the MUIII category that would characterize the Noise Monitor 2 location.

Their interior noise levels for residential buildings would be below 45 dBA. The Ocean Bay Retail Center would include a community center facing Beach Channel Drive or Beach 54<sup>th</sup> Street, but the frontage on Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street would be for retail uses. Therefore, the desired interior noise level is an L<sub>10</sub> of 50 dBA, and the projected noise level shown in Table 15-10 is lower than 50 dBA. Based on this more refined analysis of noise levels under With Action condition, no significant adverse impacts are projected for existing buildings due to the Proposed Project.

	Peak AM Act	l Noise Lev tion Condit	vels (dBA), tions	Adjusted AM Action	L10 Interior		
Building	l ea	1 10	Adjustment Leq L10				Noise
Lawrence Nursing	Q	210	210 209				20101
Care*	75.0	77.7	2.7	5.9	69.1	71.8	43.8
334 Beach 54th Street	75.0	77.7	2.7	5.1	69.9	72.6	44.6
3-09 Beach 53rd Street	75.0	77.7	2.7	3.1	72.0	74.7	44.7`
Ocean Bay Retail							
Center	75.0	77.7	2.7	1.1	73.9	76.6	48.6
Library	75.0	77.7	2.7	9.2	65.8	68.5	40.5

 Table 15-10: Traffic Noise Levels at Individual Buildings

# Traffic Noise for Buildings on Project Site

New buildings constructed on the Project Site would have frontage along the existing streets of Beach  $53^{rd}$  Street, Beach  $50^{th}$  Street, Beach Channel Drive, and Rockaway Beach Boulevard. They would be considered new sensitive receptors in an area with L<sub>10</sub> noise levels greater than 70.0 dBA. To avoid potential impacts, these new buildings would be subject to (E) Designations specifying the required window/wall attenuation.

Peninsula Hospital Site Redevelopment CEQR No: 18DCP124Q

Traffic noise levels for the facades facing existing streets were assigned according to the maximum noise levels projected for the noise monitors located on Beach Channel Drive (Monitor 1), Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street (Monitor 2), Rockaway Beach Boulevard (Monitor 3), and Rockaway Beach Boulevard/Beach 50<sup>th</sup> Street (Monitor 5).

Traffic noise levels for facades facing Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and Peninsula Way, which would be new streets, were obtained using the TNM model. Traffic under the on-site No-Action condition would affect new buildings only under the No-Action condition. Since the development under the No-Action condition would not be present under the With-Action condition, TNM was run only for the With-Action condition.

The peak AM traffic period was selected for modeling because it had the highest number of trucks and PCEs, especially along Peninsula Way. Peninsula Way would carry trucks during the peak AM and Midday periods, but not the PM or Saturday period. Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street is not projected to carry trucks during any peak traffic periods. Some segments of Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street had slightly more traffic during the peak PM period compared with the peak AM period, but the PCEs for Peninsula Way were considered a worst-case condition for determining maximum traffic noise within the site.

Receptors were placed at 20-foot intervals along Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and Peninsula Way. These included receptors at 50,100 and 200 feet from Rockaway Beach Boulevard, Beach Channel Drive and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street. Receptor points were also placed at the noise monitor locations in order to compare the modeled noise levels for existing traffic with existing noise levels at those locations. In addition to Noise Monitoring Sites 1, 2, 3, and 5, a Noise Monitoring Site (Noise Monitoring Site 9) in the middle of the Project Site was included. The differences between monitored and modeled noise levels were used to develop adjustment factors to apply to the modeled noise levels for With Action conditions, as shown in **Table 15-11 Required Attenuation for Proposed Project.** 

### Window/Wall Attenuation

Since the Proposed Project would place new sensitive receptors in an area with L<sub>10</sub> noise levels that exceed 70 dBA on some facades, a potential significant adverse impact would occur unless the Proposed Project incorporates mitigation measures. Therefore, window/wall noise attenuation measures are required to ensure that L<sub>10</sub> interior noise levels would be 45 dBA or less (50 dBA for commercial uses). Accordingly, the Project Site will be mapped with (E) Designations for the proposed buildings in order not to have a significant adverse noise impact. This also requires alternate means of ventilation, such as air conditioning, so that windows may remain closed during warm weather conditions. The minimum required attenuation for the Proposed Project buildings is shown in **Table 15-11: Required Attenuation for Proposed Project**.

Building	Façade Facing	Monitoring Site ID	Maximum L <sub>10</sub>	CEQR Category	Minimum Required Attenuation
A1	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street	2	77.7	MUIII	33
	Beach Channel Drive	1	75.3	MUII	31
	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	9	70.0	MUI	28
	Peninsula Way	9	70.0	MUI	28
A2	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street	2	77.7	MUIII	33
	Peninsula Way	9	70.0	MUI	28
	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	9	71.0	MUI	28
	Beach Channel Drive	1	75.3	MUII	31
B1	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	1	75.3	MUII	31
	Beach Channel Drive	1	75.3	MUII	31
	Nursing Home	1	75.3	MUII	31
	Peninsula Way	9	70.5	MUI	28
B2	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	9	68.8	MA	NA
	Peninsula Way	9	70.5	MUI	28
	Nursing Home	8	66.7	MA	NA
	Beach Channel Drive	1	75.3	MUII	31
C1	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street	2	77.7	MUIII	33
	Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	76.6	MUIII	33
	Peninsula Way	9	72.2	MUI	28
	Beach 52nd St.	9	71.7	MUI	28
	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	9	71.7	MUI	28
C2	Peninsula Way	9	72.2	MUI	28
	Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	76.6	MUIII	33
	Beach 53 <sup>rd</sup> Street	2	77.7	MUIII	33
	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	9	72.7	MUI	28
D1	Peninsula Way	9	70.8	MUI	28
	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Plaza	8	66.7	MA	NA
	Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	76.6	MUIII	33
D2	Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	76.6	MUIII	33
	Beach 51 <sup>st</sup> Plaza	9	72.7	MUI	28
	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	9	72.7	MUI	28
	Peninsula Way	9	70.8	MUI	28
	Beach 50th Street	5	74.3	MUII	31
<b>E</b> 1	Peninsula Way	9	70.6	MUI	28
E1	Beach 52nd Street	9	72.7	MUI	28
	Rockaway Beach Boulevard	5	74.3	MUII	31
E2	Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	76.6	MUIII	33
	Beach 52nd Street	9	70.6	MUI	28
	Beach 50th Street	5	74.3	MUII	31
	Peninsula Way	9	70.6	MUI	28
F1	Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	76.6	MUIII	33

# Table 15-11: Required Attenuation for Proposed Project

# Peninsula Hospital Site Redevelopment CEQR No: 18DCP124Q

	Rockaway Freeway	4	75.1	MUII	31
	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street up to 70 from Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	75.6	MUIII	33
	Beach 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street over 70 feet from Rockaway Beach Boulevard	4	75.1	MUII	31
	Beach 49thStreet up to 70 feet from Rockaway Beach Boulevard	3	76.6	MUIII	33
	Beach 49 <sup>th</sup> Street more than 70 feet from Rockaway Beach Boulevard	4	75.1	MUII	31

Notes: MA = Marginally Acceptable; MU I = Marginally Unacceptable I; MU II = Marginally Unacceptable II; MU II – Marginally Unacceptable III; MU IV = Marginally Unacceptable IV

Source: Sam Schwartz Engineering

# **Noise E-Designations**

The analysis determined that all Proposed Project buildings would require (E) Designations that would specify the amount of noise attenuation to be provided by the buildings' windows and walls. Depending on the projected exterior noise levels at each location, attenuation of 31 dBA to 33 dBA would be required. The following (E) Designation, E-532, will be mapped on the Project Site:

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building A1): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future Residential/Commercial/Community Facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on all façades facing Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, 31 dBA window/wall attenuation on all façades facing Beach Channel Drive, and 28 dBA on other facades in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning.

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building A2): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office/community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on the façade facing Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, 31 dBA on the façade facing Beach Channel Drive, and 28 dBA on the facades facing Peninsula Way and Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning.

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building B1): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 28 dBA on the façade facing Peninsula Way and 31 dBA on the building's facades facing Beach Channel Drive in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning.

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building B2): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office/community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 31 dBA window/wall attenuation on the building's facades facing Beach Channel Drive and 28 dBA on the façade facing Peninsula Way in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning.

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building C1): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential and community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on the building's facades facing Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street and Rockaway Beach Boulevard and 28 dBA on the facades facing Peninsula Way and Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA

for commercial office uses. In order to maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning or air conditioning sleeves containing air conditioners.

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building C2): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office/community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on the building's façades facing Rockaway Beach Boulevard and Beach 53<sup>rd</sup> Street and 28 dBA on the facades facing Peninsula Way and Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning.

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building D1): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office/community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on the building's façade facing Rockaway Beach Boulevard and 28 dBA on the façade facing Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and Peninsula Way in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning.

Block 15843, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building D2): In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office/community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on the building's façade facing Rockaway Beach Boulevard and 28 dBA on all other facades in order to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning.

Block 15842, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building E1): To ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 31 dBA window/wall attenuation on the building's façades facing Beach 50<sup>th</sup> Street and Rockaway Beach Boulevard and 28 dBA on the facades facing Peninsula Way and Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial office uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, air conditioning.

Block 15842, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building E2): To ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office/community facility uses must provide a closed-window condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on the building's façade facing Rockaway Beach Boulevard, 31 dBA on the façade facing Beach 50thStreet, and 28 dBA of attenuation on the façade facing Peninsula Way and Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses and not greater than 50 dBA for commercial office uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, air conditioning.

Block 15857, Lot 1 (Proposed Project Building F): To ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential/commercial office/community facility uses must provide a closedwindow condition with a minimum of 33 dBA window/wall attenuation on facades facing Rockaway Beach Boulevard or portions of eastern facades facing Beach 49th Street or western façade facing Beach 52<sup>nd</sup> Street within 70 feet from Rockaway Beach Boulevard and 31 dBA of attenuation on all the other facades to ensure an interior noise level not greater than 45 dBA for residential and community facility uses or not greater than 50 dBA for commercial office uses. To maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, air conditioning.

Based on the projected noise levels, these design measures would provide sufficient attenuation to satisfy CEQR requirements. With the specified attenuation measures in place, the Proposed Project would not result in significant adverse noise impacts.